











O B S E R V A T I O N S

ON THE NATURE OF

CIVIL LIBERTY,

THE PRINCIPLES OF

GOVERNMENT,

AND THE

JUSTICE AND POLICY

OF THE

WAR WITH AMERICA.

To which are added

AN APPENDIX AND POSTSCRIPT;

Containing a STATE of the NATIONAL DEBT, an Effimate of the Money drawn from the Public by the Taxes, and an Account of the National Income and Expenditure fince the laft War.

Quis furor iste novus? quo nunc, quo tenditis Heu! miseri cives? non Hostem, inimicaque castra, —— Vestras Spes uritis. VIRG.

By RICHARD PRICE, D. D. F. R. S.

The ELEVENTH EDITION, with Additions; corrected by the Author.

LONDON:

Printed for T. CADELL, in the STRAND; E. and C. DILLY in the POULTRY; and J. JOHNSON, NO. 72. ST. PAUL'S CHURCH-YARD. M.DCC.LXXVI.

APrice Four-pence, or One Guinea per Hundred.]

OBSERVATIONS WIRDAMERICA. And we start in an any and a start and allow a

P R E F A C E TO THE

FIRST EDITION.

IN the following Observations, I have taken that liberty of examining public measures, which, happily for this kingdom, every person in it enjoys. They contain the sentiments of a private and unconnected man; for which, should there be any thing wrong in them, he alone is answerable.

After all that has been written on the dispute with America, no reader can expect to be informed, in this publication, of much that he has not before known. Perhaps, however, he may find in it some new matter; and if he should, it will be chiefly in the Observations on the Nature of Civil Liberty, and the Policy of the War with America; and in the Appendix. February 8, 1776.

P R E F A C E TO THE F ·I·F T H E DI TIO N.

T.H.E favourable reception which the following tract has miet with, makes me abundant amends for the abuse it has brought upon me. I should be ill employed were I to take much notice of this abuse: but there is one circumstance attending it, which I cannot help just mentioning.—The principles en which I have argued form the foundation of every state as far as it is free; and are the same with those taught by Mr. Locke, and all writers on Ciwil Liberty who have been hitherto most admired in this country. But I find with concern, that our Gowernor's chuse to decline trying by them their present measures: For in a Pamphlet which has been circulated by government with great industry, these principles are pronounced to be " un-" natural and wild, incompatible with practice, and the offfring of the distempered imagination of a man who is biassed by party, and who writes to deceive."

I must take this opportunity to add, that I love quiet too well to think of entering into a controversy with any writers; particularly, nameless ones. Conscious of good intentions, and une connected with any party, I have endeavoured to plead the cause of general liberty and justice : And happy in knowing this, I shall, in filence, commit myself to that candour of the public of which I have had so much experience. March 12, 1776.

CON-

CONTENTS.

PART-I.

PAGE -SECT. I. Of the Nature of Liberty in general. I Of Civil Liberty and the Principles of Go-SECT. II. 7 vernment. Of the Authority of one Country over ano-SECT. III. 13 ther. 18 PART II. Of the Justice of the War with America. 20 SECT. I. Whether the War with America is justified SECT. II. 26 by the Principles of the Constitution. Of the Policy of the War with America. 27 SECT. III. SECT. IV. Of the Honour of the Nation as affected by 41 the War with America. SECT. V. Of the Probability of fucceeding in the War 45 with America.

APPENDIX.

Containing a State of the National Debt at Midsummer 1775; an Estimate of the Money drawn from the Public by the Taxes, and a Comparison of the National Income fince the last War, with the National Expenditure.

Published by the same Author.

52

1. Obfervations on Reversionary Payments; on Schemes for providing Annuities for Wiscows, and Perfons in Old Age; on the Method of calculating the Values of Affurances on Lives; and on the National Debt, &c.

The 3d Edition, with a Supplement, Price 6s.

II. A Review of the principal Queftions and Difficulties in Morals. Particularly, those relating to the Original of our Ideas of Virtue, its Nature, Foundation, Reference to the Deity, Obligation, Subject-matter, and Sanctions. The Second Edition corrected. Price 6s.

III. Four Differtations.—I. On Providence.—II. On Prayer.—III. On the Reafons for expecting that virtuous Men shall meet after Death in a State of Happiness.— IV. On the Importance of Christianity, the Nature of Hiftorical Evidence and Miracles. The 3d Edition. Price 6s

IV. An Appeal to the Public, on the Subject of the National Debt. The 2d Edition, with an Appendix.— Price 28. OB-

OBSERVATIONS, &c.

UR Colonies in NORTH AMERICA appear to be now determined to risque and suffer every thing, under the perfuasion, that GREAT BRITAIN is attempting to rob them of that liberty to which every member of fociety, and all civil communities, have a natural and unalienable title. The queftion, therefore, whether this is a right perfuaiion, is highly intereffing, and deferves the most careful attention of every *Englifeman* who values Liberty, and wifnes to avoid ftaining himfelf with the guilt of invading it. But it is impossible to judge properly of this question without just ideas of Liberty *in general*; and of the nature, limits, and principles of Civil Liberty *in particular*.—The following observations on this subject appear to me of some importance; and I cannot make myfelf eafy without offering them to the public at the prefent period, big with events of the last confequence to this kingdom. I do this with reluctance and pain, urged by strong feelings, but at the fame time checked by the confcioufnels that I am likely to deliver fentiments not favour-able to the prefent measures of that goverment, under which I live, and to which I am a constant and zealous well-wisher. Such, however are my prefent fentiments and views, that this is a confideration of inferior moment with me; and, as I hope never to go beyond the bounds of de-cent difcuffion and expetulation, I flatter myfelf that I fhall be able to avoid giving any perfon reafon for offence.

The observations with which I shall begin, are of a more general and abstracted nature; but being necessary to introduce what I have principally in view, I hope they will be patiently read and confidered.

SECT. I.

Of the Nature of Liberty in General.

IN order to obtain a more diffinct view of the nature of Liberty as fuch, it will be ufeful to confider it under the four following general divisions. First, Physical Liberty.----Secondly, Moral Liberty.----

First, *Physical* Liberty.----Secondly, *Moral* Liberty.----Thirdly, *Religious* Liberty.---And Fourthly, *Civil* Liberty. These heads comprehend under them all the different kinds of Liberty. And I have placed *Civil* Liberty last, because I mean to apply to it all I shall fay of the other kinds of Liberty.

By PHYSICAL LIBERTY I mean that principle of Spontaneity, or Self-determination, which conflitutes us Agents; or which gives us a command over our actions, rendering them properly ours, and not effects of the operation of any A 3

F. 6

foreign caufe. MORAL LIBERTY is the power of follow? ing, in all circumstances, our fense of right and wrong ; or of acting in conformity to our reflecting and moral principles, without being controuled by any contrary principles .--- RELIGIOUS LIBERTY fignifies the power of exercifing, without moleftation, that mode of religion which we think beft ; or of making the decifions of our own confciences, respecting religious truth, the rule of our conduct, and not any of the decifions of others. In like manner; CIVIL LIBERTY is the power of a Civil Society or State to govern itself by its own discretion ; or by laws of its own . making, without being fubject to the impolitions of any power, which the collective body of the people have no concern in appointing or directing, and over which they have no controul.

It should be observed, that, according to these definitions of the different kinds of liberty, there is one general idea that runs through them all ; I mean the idea of Self-di-. ' rection or Self-government.

Did our volitions originate not with our set with . fome caule over which we have no power ; or were we under a necessity of always following fome will different. from our own, we fhould want PHYSICAL LIBERTY.

In like manner, he whofe perceptions of moral obligations are controuled by his passions, has lost his Moral Liberty; and the most common language applied to him is, that he wants Self-government.

He likewise who, in religion, cannot govern himself by his convictions of religious duty, but is obliged to receive. formularies of faith, and to practife modes of worship impofed upon him by others, wants Religious Liberty .- And the Community also that is governed, not by itself, but by fome : will independent of it, wants Civil Liberty.

In all these cases there is a force which stands opposed. to the agent's own will; and which, as far as it operates, produces Servitude. In the first case, this force is incompatible with the very idea of voluntary motion; and the fubject of it is a mere passive instrument which never alls, but is always acted upon. In the fecond cafe, this force is the influence of paffion getting the better of reason; or the brute overpowering and conquering the will of the man .--In the third cafe ; it is Human Authority in religion requiring conformity to particular modes of faith and worship, and fuperseding private judgment. And in the last cafe, it is any will diffinct from that of the majority of a community, which claims a power of making laws for it, and disposing of its property.

This it is, I think, that marks the limit, or that lays theline between Liberty and Slavery. As far as, in any initance, the operation of any caule comes in to reftrain the power of fell-government, fo far Slavery is introduced : nor do I think that a precifer idea than this of Liberty and Slaverycan be formed.

E

Fcannot help withing I could here fix my reader's at? fention, and engage him to confider carefully the dignity of that bleffing to which we give the name of LIBERTY, according to the representation now made of it. There is not a word in the whole compass of language which expressés so much of what is important and excellent. It is, in every view of it, a bleffing truly facred and invaluable. Without Physical Liberty, man would be a machine acted. upon by mechanical iprings, having no principle of motion in himfelf, or command over events; and, therefore, incapable of all merit and demerit. Without Moral Liberty he in a wicked and deteftable being, fubject to the tyranny of bafe lufts, and the sport of every vile appetite. And without Religious and Civil Liberty he is a poor and abject animal without rights, without property, and without a confcience, bending his neck to the yoke, and crouching to the will of every filly creature who has the infolence to pretend to au* thority over him. Nothing, therefore, can be of fo much confequence to us as Liberty. It is the foundation of all

[7]

honour, and the chief privilege and glory of our natures. In fixing our ideas on the fubject of Liberty, it is of particular use to take such an enlarged view of it as I have now given. But the immediate object of the present enquiry being *Civil Liberty*, I will confine to it all the subsequent observations.

SECT. II.

Of Civil Liberty and the Principles of Government.

F ROM what has been faid it is obvious, that all civil government, as far asit can be denominated free, is the creature of the people. It originates with them. It is conducted under their direction; and has in view nothing but their happinels. All its different forms are no more than fo many different modes in which they chufe to direct their affairs, and to fecure the quiet enjoyment of their rights. In every free flate every man is his own Legislator. All taxes are free gifts for public fervices. All laws are particular provisions or regulations established by COMMON CONSENT for gaining protection and fafety. And all Magisfrates are Truftees or Deputies for carrying these regulations into execution.

Liberty, therefore, is too imperfectly defined when it is faid to be "a Government by Laws, and not by MEN." If the laws are made by one man, or a junto of men in a flate, and not by COMMON CONSENT, a government by them does not differ from flavery. In this cafe it would be a contradiction in terms to fay that the flate governs itfelf.

From hence it is obvious that *Civil Liberty*, in its moft perfect degree, can be enjoyed only in fmall flates, where every member is capable of giving his fuffrage in perfon, and of A 4. being chosen into public offices. When a flate becomes so numerous, or when the different parts of it are removed to fuch diffances from one another, as to render this impracticable, a diminution of Liberty neceffarily arises. There are, however, in these circumflances, methods by which such near approaches may be made to perfect Liberty as shall answer all the purposes of government, and at the same time fecure every right of human nature.

5 8

Though all the members of a flate fhould not be capable of giving their fuffrages on public meafures, *individually* and *perforally*, they may do this by the appointment of *Subfitutes* or *Reprefentativet*. They may entruit the powers of legiflation, fubject to fuch refrictions as they fhall think neceffary, with any number of *Delegates*; and whatever can be done by fuch delegates, within the limits of their truft, may be confidered as done by the united voice and counfel of the community.—In this method a free government may be effablished in the largeit flate; and it is conceivable that by regulations of this kind, any number of flates might be fubjected to a fcheme of government, that would exclude the defolations of war, and produce univerfal peace and order.

Let us think here of what may be practicable in this way with respect to Europe in particular .- While it continues divided, as it is at present, into a great number of independent kingdoms whole interests are continually clashing, it is impoffible but that disputes will often arise which must end in war and carnage. It would be no remedy to this evil to make one of these flates supreme over the rest; and to give it an abfolute plenitude of power to fuperintend and con-troul them. This would be to fubject all the flates to the arbitrary diferetion of one, and to establish an ignominious flavery not possible to be long endured. It would, therefore, he a remedy worfe than the dileafe; nor is it poffible it fhould be approved by any mind that has not loft every idea of Civil Liberty. On the contrary .- Let every flate, with respect to all its internal concerns, be continued independent of all the reft; and let a general confederacy be formed by the appointment of a SENATE confitting of Representatives from all the different states. Let this SENATE posses being the power of managing all the common concerns of the united flates, and of judging and deciding between them, as a common Arbiter or Umpire, in all-disputes ; having, at the same time, under its direction, the common force of the flates to support its decisions .- In thefe circumstances, each separate state would be secure against the interference of foreign power in its private concerns, and therefore, would poffels Liberty; and at the fame time it would be fecure against all oppression and infult from every neighbouring state .- Thus might the scattered force and abilities of a whole continent be gathered into one point; all litigations fettled as they role; univerfal peace preferved ; and nation prevented from any more lifting up a fourd against nation.

I have observed, that though, in a great state, all the individuals that compose it cannot be admitted to an immediate participation in the powers of legislation, and government, yet they may participate in these powers by a delegation of them to a body of reprefentatives. In this cafe it is evident that the flate will be flill free or felf-governed; and that it will be more or lefs fo in proportion as it is more or lefs fairly and adequately reprefented. If the perfons to whom the truit of government is committed hold their places for fhort terms; if they are chosen by the unbiassed voices of a majority of the flate, and subject to their instructions ; Liberty will be enjoyed in its highest degree. But if they are chosen for long terms by a part only of the state; and if during that term they are subject to no controul from their constituents; the very idea of Liberty will be loft, and the power of chuling representatives becomes nothing but a power, lodged in a few, to chuse at certain periods, a body of Masters for themfelves and for the rest of the community. And if a state is to funk that the majority of its reprefentatives are elected by a handful of the meanest (a) perfons in it, whose votes are always paid for; and if also, there is a higher will on which even these mock representatives themselves depend, and that directs their voices : In these circumstances, it will be an abuse of language to say that the state possifies Liberty.-Private men, indeed, might be allowed the exercise of Liberty; as they might also under the most despotic government ; but it would be an indulgence or connivance derived from the fpirit of the times, or from an accidental mildnefs in the administration. And, rather than be governed in fuch a manner, it would perhaps be better to be governed by the will of one man without any reprefentation : For a representation so degenerated could answer no other end than to millead and deceive, by difguifing flavery, and keeping up a form of Liberty when the reality was loft.

[9]

Within the limits now mentioned, Liberty may be enjoyed in every poffible degree; from that which is complete and perfect, to that which is merely nominal; according as the people have more or lefs of a fhare in government, and of a controuling power over the perfons by whom it is adminiftered.

In general, to be *free* is to be guided by one's own will; and to be guided by the will of another is the characteriftic of *Servitude*. This is particularly applicable to Political Liberty. That ftate, I have observed, is *free* which is guided by its own will; or, (which comes to the fame) by the will of an affembly of reprefentatives appointed by itfelf and ac-

(a) In Great-Britain, confifting of near fix millions of inhabitants, 5723 perfons, most of them the lowest of the people, elect one half of the House of Commons; and 364 votes chufe a ninth part. This may be feen difficulty made out in the Political Disquisitions, Vol. I. Bouk 2. C. 4. a work full of important and useful instruction.

A 5

countable

countable to itfelf. And every flate that is not to governed; or in which a body of men reprefenting the people make not an effential part of the Legislature, is in flavery .- In order to form the most perfect constitution of government, there may be the best reatons for joining to such a body of representatives, an Hereditary Council, confifting of men of the first rank in the ltate, with a Supreme executive Magistrate at the head of all. This will form useful checks in a legiflature ; and contribute to give it vigour, union, and dispatch, without infringing liberty : For, as long as that part of a government which reprefents the people is a fair reprefentation ; and alfo has a negative on all public measures, together with the fole power of imposing taxes and originating lupplies ; the effentials of liberty will be preferved. We make it our boalt in this country, that this is our own conflication. I will not fay with how much reafon.

IO

Of fuch Liberty as I have now defcribed, it is impossible that there should be an excess. Government is an institution for the benefit of the people governed, which they have power to model as they pleafe; and to fay, that they can have too much of this power, is to fay, that there ought to be a power in the flate, superior to that which gives it being, and from which all jurifdiction in it is derived .- Licentioufnefs, which thas been commonly mentioned as an extreme of liberty, is indeed its opposite. It is government by the will of rapacious individuals, in opposition to the will of the commuaity, made known and declared in the laws. A free flate, at the fame time that it is free itfelf, makes all its members free by excluding licentiousness, and guarding their perfons and property and good name against infult. It is the end of all just government, at the fame time that it fecures the liberty of the public against foreign injury, to fecure the liberty of the individual against private injury. I do not, therefore, think it ftrictly jult to fay, that it belongs to the nature of government to entrench on private liberty. It ought never to do this, except as far as the exercise of private liberty encroaches on the liberties of others. That is ; it is licentioufnefs it reftrains, and liberty itfelf only when ufed to deftroy liberty.

It appears from hence, that licentioufnefs and defpotifm are more nearly allied than is commonly imagined. They are both alike inconfiitent with liberty, and the true end of government; nor is there any other difference between them, than that the one is the licentioufnefs of great men, and the other the licentioufnefs of *little* men; or that, by the one, the perfons and property of a people are fubject to outrage and invafion from a King, or a lawlefs body of *Grandees*; and that, by the other, they are fubject to the like outrage from a *lawlefs mob.*—In avoiding one of thefe evils, mankind have often run into the other. But all wellcondituted governments guard equally againft both. Indeed of the two, the laft is, on feveral accounts, the leaft to be dreaded. dreaded; and has done the leaft mifchief. It may be truly faid, that if licentioufnefs has deftroyed its thoufands, defpotilm has deftroyed its millions. The former, having little power, and no fyftem to fupport it, neceffarily finds its own remedy; and a people foon get out of the tumult and anarchy attending it. But a defpotifm, wearing the form of government, and being armed with its force, is an evil not to be conquered without dreadful ftruggles. It goes on from age to age, debafing the human faculties, levelling all diftinctions, and preying on the rights and bleffings of fociety. It deferves to be added, that in a flate diffurbed by licentioufnefs, there is an animation which is favourable to the human mind, and which puts it upon exerting its powers.— But in a flate habituated to a defpotifm, all is full and torpid. A dark and favage tyranny ftifles every effort of genius; and the mind lofes all its fpirit and dignity.

Before I proceed to what I have farther in view, I will obferve, that the account now given of the principles of public Liberty, and the nature of an equal and free government, thews what judgment we should form of that OMNIPO-TENCE, which, it has been faid, must belong to every government as fuch. Great ftress has been laid on this, but most unreasonably .- Government, as has been before obferved, is, in the very nature of it, a TRUST ; and all its powers a DELEGATION for gaining particular ends. This truft may be misapplied and abused. It may be employed to defeat the very ends for which it was inflituted; and to fubvert the very rights which it ought to protect .- A PARLIA-MENT, for inftance, confifting of a body of reprefentatives. chosen for a limited period, to make laws, and to grant money for public services, would forfeit its authority by making itself perpetual, or even prolonging its own duration; by nominating its own members; by accepting bribes; or fubjecting itfelf to any kind of foreign influence. This would convert a Parliament into a conclave or junto of felf-created tools; and a flate that has loft its regard to its own rights, fo far as to fubmit to fuch a breach of truft in its rulers, is enflaved. Nothing, therefore, can be more abfurd than the doctrine which fome have taught, with refpect to the omnipotence of parliaments. They posses no power beyond the limits of the trult for the execution of which they were formed. If they contradict this truft, they betray their conflituents, and diffolve themfelves. All delegated power must be fubordinate and limited. If omnipotence can, with any fenfe, be afcribed to a legiflature, it mult be lodged where all legiflative authority originates; that is, in the PEOPLE.-For their fakes government is inflituted; and their's is the only real omnipotence.

I am fentible, that all I have been faying would be very abfurd, were the opinions juft which fome have maintained concerning the origin of government. According to thefe opinions, government is not the creature of the people, or A 6 the the refult of a convention between them and their rulers: But there are certain men who poffefs in themfelves, independently of the will of the people, a right of governing them, which they derive from the deity. This doctrine has been abundantly refuted by many (a) excellent writers. It is a doctrine which avowedly fubverts Civil Liberty; and which represents mankind as a body of vafials, formed to defcend like cattle from one fet of owners to another, who have an absolute dominion over them. It is a wonder, that those who view their species in a light so humiliating, fhould ever be able to think of themselves without regret and shame. The intention of these observations is not to oppose such fentiments; but, taking for granted the reasonableness of Civil Liberty, to fhew wherein it confifts, and what diffinguishes it from its contrary. And, in confidering this fubject, as it has been now treated, it is unavoidable to reflect on the excellency of a free government, and its tendency to exalt the nature of man .: Every member of a free flate, having his property fecure, and knowing himfelf his own governor, possesses a confciousness of dignity in himself, and feels incitements to emulation and improvement, to which the miferable flaves of arbitrary power must be utter strangers. In fuch a flate all the fprings of action have room to operate, and the mind is flimulated to the nobleft exertions (a). But to be obliged, from our birth, to look up to a creature no better than ourselves as the master of our fortunes; and to receive his will as our law. What can be more humiliating ? What elevated ideas can enter a mind in fuch a fituation? Agreeably to this remark ; the fubjects of free flates have, in all ages, been most distinguished for genius and knowledge. Liberty is the foil where the arts and fciences have flourifhed ; and the more free a flate has been, the more have the powers of the human mind been drawn forth into action. and the greater number of brave men has it produced. With what luftre do the antient free states of Greece shine in the annals of the world ? How different is that country now, under the Great Turk? The difference between a country inhabited by men, and by brutes, is not greater.

[12]

Thefe are reflections which fhould be conftantly prefent to every mind in this country. As Moral Liberty is the prime bleffing of man in his private capacity, fo is Civil Liberty in his public capacity. There is nothing that requires more to be watched than power. There is nothing that ought to be opposed with a more determined refolution than its encroachments. Sleep in a flate, as Montefquieu fays, is always followed by flavery.

The people of this kingdomwere once warmed by fuch fentiments as thefe. Many a fycophant of power have they

(a) See among others Mr. Locke on Government, and Dr. Prieftley's Effay on the first Principles of Government.

(b) See Dr. Prieftley on Government, page 68, 69, &c.

facri-

facrificed. Often have they fought and bled in the caufe of Liberty. But that time feems to be going. The fair inheritance of Liberty left us by our anceltors many of us are not unwilling to refign. An abandoned venality, the infeparable companion of diffipation and extravagance, has poifoned the fprings of public virtue among us: And fhould any events ever arife, that fhould render the fame oppofition neceflary that took place in the times of King *Charles* the Firft, and *James* the Second, I am afraid all that is valuable to us would be loft. The terror of the ftanding army, the danger of the public funds, and the all-corrupting influence of the treafury, would deaden all zeal, and produce general acquiefcence and fervility.

[13]

SECT. III.

Of the Authority of one Country over another.

FROM the nature and principles of Civil Liberty, as they have been now explained, it is an immediate and neceffary inference that no one community can have any power over the property or legiflation of another community, which is not incorporated with it by a juft and adequate representation----Then only, it has been fhewn, is a flate free, when it is governed by its own will .--- But a country that is fubject to the legiflature of another country, in which it has no voice, and over which it has no controul, cannot be faid to be governed by its own will. Such a country, therefore, is in a flate of flavery. And it deferves to be particularly confidered, that fuch a flavery is worfe, on feveral accounts, than any flavery of private men to one another, or of kingdoms to defpots within themfelves.-----Between one state and another, there is none of that fellowfeeling that takes place between perfons in private life. Being detached bodies that never fee one another, and re-fiding perhaps in different quarters of the globe, the flate that governs cannot be a witnefs to the fufferings occafioned by its oppressions; or a competent judge of the circumstances and abilities of the people who are governed. They muft also have in a great degree separate interests; and the more the one is loaded, the more the other may be eafed. The infamy likewife of oppression, being in such circumstances shared among a multitude, is not likely to be much felt or regarded .---- On all these accounts there is, in the case of one country subjugated to another, little or nothing to check rapacity; and the most flagrant injustice and cruelty may be practifed without remorfe or pity .--- I will add, that it is particularly difficult to shake off a tyranny of this kind. A fingle despot, if a people are unanimous and resolute, may be soon subdued. But a despotic state is not easily subdued: and a people subject to it cannot emancipate themselves who she set to bothed when it such the class without

without entering into a dreadful, and, perhaps, very unequal conteft.

I cannot help observing farther, that the llavery of a people to internal despots may be qualified and limited : but I don't fee what can limit the authority of one state over another. The exercise of power in this case can have no other measure than discretion; and therefore, mult be indefinite and absolute.

Once more. It fhould be confidered that the government of one country by another, can only be supported by a military force; and, without such a support mult be deftitute of all weight and efficiency.

This will be best, explained by putting the following cafe .---- There is, let us fuppole, in a province subject to the fovereignty of a diftant state, a subordinate legislature confifting of an affembly chofen by the people ; a Council chofen by that affembly; and a Governor appointed by the Sovereign State, and paid by the Province. There are, likewife, judges and other officers, appointed and paid in the fame manner, for administering justice agreeably to the laws, by the verdicts of juries fairly and indiferiminately chofen. This forms a conflitution feemingly free, by giving the people a fhare in their own government, and fome check on their rulers : But, while there is a higher legiflative power, to the controul of which fuch a conflitution is fubject, it does not, *itfelf* pollels Liberty, and therefore, cannot be of any use as a fecurity to Liberty; nor is it poffible that it fhould be of long duration. Laws offenfive to the Province will be enacted by the Sovereign State. The legislature of the province will remonstrate against them. The magifirates will not execute them. Juries will not convict upon them; and confequently, like the Pope's Bulls which once governed Europe, they will become nothing but forms and empty founds, to which no regard will be fhewn. In order to remedy this evil, and to give efficiency to its government, the fupreme state will naturally be led to withdraw the Governor, 'the Council, and the Judges (a) from the controul of the province, by making

(a) The independency of the Judges we effect in this country one of our greatest privileges. Before the revolution they generally, I believe, held their places during pedfare. King William gave them their places during good behaviour. At the acceffion of the prefent Royal Family their places were given them during good behaviour, in confequence of the act of Settlement, 12 and 13 W. HI. C. 2. But an opinion having been entertained by fome, that though their commiffions were made under the Act of Settlement to continue, during good behaviour, yet that they determined on the demife of the Crown; it was enacted by a flatute made in the first year of his prefent Majefly, Chap. 23. ""That the commiffions of Judges for the time being fhall be, continue, and remain in full force, during their good behaviour, notwithflanding "the demife of his Majefly, or of any of his Heirs and Succeffors;" with a provifo, " that it may be lawful for his Majefly, his Heirs and Succef[15] them entirely dependant on itfelf for their pay and continuance in office, as well as for their appointment. It will also alter the mode of chuling Juries on purpose to bring them more under its influence: And in some cases, under the pretence of the impossibility of gaining an impartial trial where government is refisted, it will perhaps ordain, that offenders shall be removed from the Province to be tried within its own territories: And it may even go so far in this kind of policy, as to endeavour to prevent the effects of discontents, by forbidding all meetings and affociations of

the people, except at fuch times, and for fuch particular purposes, as shall be permitted them. Thus will fuch a Province be exactly in the fame state that Britain would be in, were our first executive magistrate, our House of Lords, and our Judges, nothing but the instruments of a foreign democratical power; were our Juries nominated by that power; or were we liable to be transported to a distant country to be tried for offences committed here; and restrained from calling any meetings, confulting about any grievances, or affociating for any purposes, except when leave should be given us by a Lord Lieutenant or Viceroy.

It is certain that this is a flate of oppreffion which no country could endure, and to which it would be vain to expect, that any people flould fubmit an hour without an -armed force to compel them.

The late transactions in *Maffachufett's Bay* are a perfect exemplification of what I have now faid. The government of *Gneat-Britain* in that Province has gone on exactly in the train I have defcribed; till at last it became neceffary to station troops there, not amenable to the civil power; and all terminated in a government by the Sword. And fuch, if a people are not funk below the character of men, will be the iffue of all government in fimilar circumstances.

It may be asked " Are there not causes by which " one state may require a *rightful* authority over another, " though not confolidated by an adequate representation?" I answer, that there are no such causes.—All the causes to

Succeffors, to remove any Judge upon the addrefs of both Houfes of Parliament." And by the fame Statute their falaries are fecured to them during the continuance of their commiffions: His Majefty, according to the preamble of the Statute, having been pleafed to declare from the Throne to both Houfes of Parliament, " That the looked upon the inde-" pendency and uprightnels of Judges as effential to the impartial admi-" niftration of Juffice, as one of the beft Securities to the Rights and " Liberties of his loving Subjects, and as most conducive to the Honour " of his Crown."

A worthy friend and able Lawyer has fupplied me with this note.—It affords, when contrasted with that dependence of the Judges which has been thought realonable in America, a fad specimen of the different manner in which a kingdom may think proper to govern itself, and the proyinces subject to its

which

which fuch an effect can be ascribed are Conquest, Com-PACT, or Obligations conferred.

[16]

Much has been faid of the right of conquest; and history contains little more than accounts of kingdoms reduced by it under the dominion of other kingdoms, and of the havock it has made among mankind. But the authority derived from hence, being founded on violence, is never rightful. The Roman Republic was nothing but a faction against the general liberties of the world; and had no more right to give law to the provinces subject to it, than thieves have to the property they feize, or to the houses into which they break. Even in the case of a just war undertaken by one people to defend itself against the oppressions of another people, conquest gives only a right to an indemnification for the injury which occasioned the war, and a reasonable fecurity against future injury.

Neither can any flate acquire fuch an authority over other flates in virtue of any compacts or cessions. This is a cafe in which compacts are not binding. Civil Liberty is, in this respect, on the same footing with Religious Liberty. As no people can lawfully furrender their Religious Liberty, by giving up their right of judging for themselves in religion, or by allowing any human beings to prefcribe to them what faith they fhall embrace, or what mode of worship they shall practife; so neither can any civil societies lawfully furrender their Civil Liberty, by giving up to any extraneous jurifdiction their power of legislating for themselves and disposing their property. Such a cession, being incomfistant with the unalienable rights of human nature, would either not bind at all; or bind only the individuals who made it. This a bleffing which no generation of men can give up for another; and which, when loft, a people have always a right to refume .- Had our anceftors in this country been fo mad as to have fubjected themfelves to any foreign community, we could not have been under any obligation to continue in fuch a flate. And all the nations now in the world who, in confequence of the tamenefs and folly of their predeceffors, are fubject to arbitrary power, have a right to emancipate them felves as foon as they can.

If neither conquest nor compact can give fuch an authority, much lefs can any favours received, or any fervices perform ed by one flate for another. Let the favour received be what it will, Liberty is too dear a price for it. A flate that has been obliged is not, therefore, bound to be enslawed. It ought, if possible, to make an adequate return for the fervices done to it; but to suppose that it ought to give up the power of governing itself, and the disposal of its property, would be to suppose, that, in order to suppose the its gratitude, it ought to part with the power of ever afterwards exercising gratitude. How much has been done by this kingdom for-Hanover? But no one will fay that on this account, we have

[17 -]

a right to make the laws of *Hanover*; or even to draw a fine gle⁴ penny from it without its own confent.

After what has been faid it will, I am afraid, be trifling to apply the preceeding arguments to the cafe of different communities, which are confidered as different parts of the fame *Empire*. But there are reafons which render it neceffary for me to be explicit in making this application.

What I mean here is just to point out the difference of fituation between communities forming an Empire : and particular bodies or classes of men forming different parts of a Kingdom. Different communities forming an Empire have no connexions, which produce a neceffary reciprocation of interefts between them. They inhabit different districts, and are governed by different legislatures. On the contrary. The different classes of men within a kingdom are all placed on the fame ground. Their concerns and interests are the fame; and what is done to one part must affect all. These are fituations totally different; and a conftitution of government that may be confiftent with liberty in one of them, may be entirely inconfiftent with it in the other. It is, however, certain that, even in the last of these situations, no one part ought to govern the reft. In order to a fair and equal government, there ought to be a fair and equal reprefentation of all that are governed; and as far as th s is wanting in any government, it deviates from the principles of liberty, and becomes unjust and oppressive. But in the circumstances of different communities, all this holds with unspeakably more force. The government of a part in this cafe becomes complete tyranny; and fubjection to it becomes complete flavery.

But ought there not, it is alked, to exift fomewhere in an *Empire* a fupreme legiflative authority over the whole; or a power to controul and bind all the different flates of which it confifts? This enquiry has been already anfwered. The truth is, that fuch a fupreme controuling power ought to exift no where except in fuch a SENATE or body of delegates as that defcribed in page 8; and that the authority or fupremacy of even this fenate ought to be limited to the common concerns of the *Empire*. I think I have proved that the fundamental principles of Liberty neceffarily require this.

In a word. An *Empire* is a collection of flates or communities united by fome common bond or tye. If thefe flates have each of them free conflitutions of government, and with refpect to taxation and internal legiflation, are independent of the other flates, but united by compacts, or alliances, or fubjection to a great *Council*, reprefenting the whole, or to one monarch entrufted with the fupreme executive power: In thefe circumflances, the Empire will be an Empire of Freemen. If, on the contrary, like the different provinces fubject to the *Grand Seignior*, none of the flates poffefs any independent legiflative authority; but are allfubject to an abfolute monarch, whofe will is their law; then then is the Empire an Empire of Slaves. If one of the flates is free, but governs by its will all the other flates; then is the Empire, like that of the Romans in the times of the republic, an Empire confifting of one flate free, and the reft in flavery: Nor does it make any more difference in this cafe, that the governing flate is itfelf free, than it does in the cafe of a kingdom fubject to a *defpot*, that this defpot is himfelf free. I have before obferved, that this only makes the flavery worfe. There is, in the one cafe, a chance, that in the quick fucceffion of defpots, a good one will fometimes arife. But bodies of men continue the fame; and have generally proved the moft unrelenting of all tyrants.

[18]

A great writer before (a) quoted, obferves of the Roman Empire, that while Liberty was at the center, tyranny prevailed in the diftant provinces; that fuch as were free under it were extremely fo, while those who were flaves groaned under the extremity of flavery: And that the fame events that defiroyed the liberty of the former, gave liberty to the latter.

The Liberty of the Romans, therefore, was only an additional calamity to the provinces governed by them; and though it might have been faid of the *citizens* of Rome, that they were the "freeft members of any civil fociety in the "known world;" yet of the *fubjects* of Rome, it must have been faid, that they were the completeft flaves in the known world. How remarkable is it, that this very people, once the freeft of mankind, but at the fame time the most proud and tyrannical, fhould become at laft the most contemptible and abject flaves that ever existed ?

(a) Montesquieu's Spirit of Laws, Vol. I. Book II. C. xix.

PART II.

IN the foregoing difquifitions, I have, from one leading principle, deduced a number of confequences, that feem to me incapable of being difputed. I have meant that they fhould be applied to the great queftion between this kingdom and the Colonies, which has occafioned the prefent war with them.

It is impoffible, but my readers must have been all along making this application; and if they still think, that the claims of this kingdom are reconcileable to the principles of true liberty and legitimate government, I am afraid, that nothing I shall farther say will have any effect on their judgments. I wish, however, they would have the patience and candour to go with me, and grant me a hearing some time longer.

Though

Though clearly decided in my own judgment on this fubject, I am inclined to make great allowances for the different judgments of others. We have been fourfed to fpeak of the Colonies as our Colonies, and to think of them as in a frate of fubordination to us, and as holding their existence in America only for our use, that it is no wonder the prejudices of many are alarmed, when they find a different doctrine maintained. The meaneft perfon among us is disposed to look upon himfelf as having a body of fubjects in America; and to be offended at the denial of his right to make laws for them, though perhaps he does not know what colour they are of, or what language they talk. Such are the natural prejudices of this country. But the time is coming, I hope, when the unreasonableness of them will be feen; and more juft fentiments prevail.

Before I proceed, I beg it may be attended to, that I have chosen to try this question by the general principles of Civil Liberty; and not by the practice of former times; or by the Charters granted the colonies. The arguments for them, drawn from these last topics, appear to me greatly to outweigh the arguments against them. But I wish to have this question brought to a higher test, and furer iffue. The queftion with all liberal enquirers ought to be, not what jurifdiction over them Precedents, Statutes, and Charters give, but what reason and equity, and the rights of humanity give .--This is, in truth, a queftion which no kingdom has ever before had occasion to agitate. The case of a free country branching itfelf out in the manner Britain has done, and fending to a diftant world colonies which have there, from fmall beginnings, and under free legiflatures of their own, increased, and formed a body of powerful states, likely foon to become superior to the parent state :-- This is a case which is new in the hiftory of mankind; and it is extremely improper to judge of it by the rules of any narrow and partial policy; or to confider it on any other ground, than the general one of reason and justice. Those who will be candid enough. to judge on this ground, and who can diveft themfelves of national prejudices, will not, I fancy, remain long unfatisfied. But alas ! Matters are gone too far. The difpute probably must be settled another way; and the sword alone, 1 am afraid, is now to determine what the rights of Britain and America are. Shocking fituation ! Detefted be the measures which have brought us into it : and, if we are endeavouring. to enforce injuffice, curfed will be the war. A retreat, however, is not yet impracticable. The duty we owe our gracious fovereign obliges us to rely on his disposition to stay the fword, and to promote the happiness of all the different parts of the empire at the head of which he is placed. With fome hopes, therefore, that it may not be too late to reason on this fubject, I will, in the following fections, enquire what the war with America is in the following respects.

[I9] din my own

1. In

1. In respect of Justice.

2. The Principles of the Conflitution.

3. In respect of Policy and Humanity.

4. The Honour of the Kingdom.

And laftly, the Probability of fucceeding in it.

SECT. I.

Of the Justice of the War with America.

HE enquiry, whether the war with the Colonies is a juft war, will be beft determined by flating the power over them, which it is the end of the war to maintain: And this cannot be better done than in the words of an act of parliament, made on purpofe to define it. That act, it is well known, declares, "That this kingdom has power, and "of right ought to have power, to make laws and flatutes "to bind the colonies, and people of *America*, in all cafes "whatever." Dreadful power indeed ! I defy any one to express flavery in ftronger language. It is the fame with declaring "that we have a right to do with them what we pleafe." I will not wafte my time by applying to fuch a claim any of the preceding arguments. If my reader does not feel more in this cafe, than words can express, all reafoning muft be vain.

But, probably, most perfons will be for using milder language; and for faying no more than, that the united legiflatures of England and Scotland have of right power to tax the Colonies, and a supremacy of legislation over America. But this comes to the fame. If it means any thing, it means, that the property, and the legislations of the Colonies, are fubject to the absolute diferentiation of Great-Britain, and ought of right to be fo. The nature of the thing admits of no limitation. The Colonies can never be admitted to be judges, how far the authority over them in these cases shall extend. This would be to deftroy it entirely. If any part of their property is subject to our discretion, the whole must be fo. If we have a right to interfere at all in their internal legiflations, we have a right to interfere as far as we think proper. It is felf-evident, that this leaves them nothing they can call their own. And what is it that can give to any people fuch a supremacy over another people ? I have already examined the principal answers which have been given to this enquiry. But it will not be amifs in this place to go over fome of them again.

It has been urged, that fuch a right must be lodged fomewhere, " in order to preferve the UNITY of the British Em-" pire."

Pleas of this fort have, in all ages, being ufed to juftify tyranny. They have in RELIGION given rife to numberlefs opprefive claims, and flavifh Hierarchies. And in the Romi/b Communion particularly, it is well known, that the POPE claims the title and powers of the fupreme head on earth of the The Chriftian church, in order to preferve its UNITY. With refpect to the Britifh Empire, nothing can be more prepofterous than to endeavour to maintain its unity, by fetting up fuch a claim. This is a method of establishing unity, which, like the fimilar method in religion, can produce nothing but difcord and mifchief. The truth is, that a common relation to one fupreme executive head; an exchange of kind offices; ties of interest and affection; and compasts, are fufficient to give the British Empire all the unity that is neceffary. But if not, if, in order to preferve its Unity, one half of it must be enflaved to the other half, let it, in the name of God, want Unity.

Much has been faid of " the Superiority of the British state." But what gives us our superiority ?—Is it our Wealth? This never confers real dignity. On the contrary : Its effect is always to debase, intoxicate, and corrupt...-Is it the number of our people? The colonies will soon be equal to us in number.---Is it our Knowledge and Virtue? They are probably equally knowing, and more virtuous. There are names among them that will not stoop to any names among the philosophers and politicians of this island.

"But we are the PARENT STATE." Thefe are the magic words which have fascinated and milled us. The Eng-lish came from Germany. Does that give the German states a right to tax us ? Children, having no property, and being incapable of guiding themfelves, the author of nature has committed the care of them to their parents, and subjected them to their abfolute authority. But there is a period when, having acquired property, and a capacity of judging for themfelves, they become independent agents; and, when for this reason, the authority of their parents ceases, and becomes nothing but the respect and influence due to benefactors. Supposing, therefore, that the order of nature in eftablishing the relation between parents and children, ought to have been the rule of our conduct to the Colonies, we fhould have been gradually relaxing our authority as they grew up. But, like mad parents, we have done the contrary; and, at the very time when our authority should have been most relaxed, we have carried it to the greatest extent, and exercised it with the greatest rigour. No wonder then, that they have turned upon us; and obliged us to remember, that they are not Children.

"But we have, it is faid, protected them, and run deeply "in debt on their account." The full answer to this has been already given (page 16.) Will any one fay, that all we have done for them has not been more on our own account, (a) than on theirs? But suppose the contrary. Have they

(a) This is particularly true of the *bounties* granted on fome American commodities (as pitch, tar, indigo, &c.) when imported into Britain; for it is well known, that the end of granting them was, to get those commodities cheaper from the Colonies, and in return for our manufactures,

done nothing for us? Have they made no compensation for the protections they have received ? Have they not helped us to pay our taxes, to support our poor, and bear the burthen of our debts, by taking from us, at our own price, all the commodities with which we can fupply them ?-Have they not, for our advantage, submitted to many restraints in acquiring property? Muft they likewife refign to us the difpofal of that property ?-Has not their exclusive trade with us been for many years one of the chief fources of our wealth and power?-In all our wars have not they fought by our fide, and contributed much to our fucces? In the last war, particularly, it is well known, that they ran themfelves deeply in debt; and that the parliament thought it necessary to grant them confiderable fums annually, as compensations for going beyond their abilities in affisting "us. And in this courfe would they have continued for many future years ; perhaps, for ever. In fhort, were an accurate account stated, it is by means certain which fide would appear to be most indebted. When asked as freemen, they have hitherto feldom difcovered any reluctance in giving. But, in obedience to a demand, and with the bayonet at their breafts, they will give us nothing but blood.

T 22 1

It is farther faid, "that the land on which they fettled was "ours." But how came it to be ours? If failing along a coaft can give a right to a country, then might the people of *Japan* become, as foon as they pleafe, the proprietors of *Britain*. Nothing can be more chimerical than property founded on fuch a reafon. If the land on which the Colonies firft fettled had any proprietors, they were the natives. The greateft part of it they bought of the natives. They have fince cleared and cultivated it; and, without any help from us, converted a wildernefs into fruitful and pleafant fields. It is, therefore, now on a double account their property; and no power on earth can have any right to diffurb them in the poffeffion of it, or to take from them, without their confent, any part of its produce.

But let it be granted, that the land was ours. Did they not fettle upon it under the faith of charters, which promifed them the enjoyment of all the rights of *Englifhmen*; and allowed them to tax themfelves, and to be governed by legif-

factures, which we ufed to get from Ruffia and other foreign countries. And this is expressed in the preambles of the laws which grant these bounties. See the Appeal to the Juffice, &c. page 21, third edition. It is, therefore, ftrange that Doctor TUCKER and others, should have infifted for much upon these bounties as favours and indulgencies to the Colonies.--But it is full more ftrange, that the fame representation should have been made of the compensations granted them for doing more during the laft war in affisting us than could have been reasonably expected; and also of the fums we have fpent in maintaining troops among them without their confent; and in opposition to their wishes.---See a Pamphlet, entitled "The rights of Great Britain afferted against the claims of America."

latures

Jatures of their own, fimilar to ours? Thefe charters were given them by an authority, which at the time was thought competent; and they have been rendered facred by an acquiescence on our part for near a century. Can it then be wondered at, that the Colonies should revolt, when they found their charters violated ; and an attempt made to force MINNOVATIONS upon them by famine and the fword? But I lay no ftress on charters. They derive their rights from a higher fource. It is inconfiftent with common fense to imagine, that any people would ever think of fettling in a diftant country, on any fuch condition, as that the people from whom they withdrew, fhould for ever be masters of their property, and have power to fubject them to any modes of government they pleafed. And had there been express flipulations to this purpose in all the charters of the colonies, they would, in my opinion, be no more bound by them, than if it had been flipulated with them, that they fhould go naked, or expose themselves to the incursions of wolves and tigers.

The defective flate of the reprefentation of this kingdom thas been farther pleaded to prove our right to tax America. We fubmit to a parliament that does not reprefent us, and therefore they ought. How flrange an argument is this? It is faying we want liberty; and therefore, they ought to want it. Suppofe it true, that they are indeed contending for a better conflitution of government, and more liberty than we enjoy: Ought this to make us angry? Who is there that does not fee the danger to which this country is expofed ?---Is it generous, becaufe we are in a fink, to endeavour to draw them into it? Ought we not rather to wifh earnefly, that there may at leaft be ONE FREE COUNTRY left upon the earth, to which we may fly, when venality, luxury, and vice have completed the ruin of liberty here ?

It is, however, by no means true, that America has no more right to be exempted from taxation by the British parliament. than Britain itself. Here, all freeholders and burgeffes in boroughs, are represented. There, not one Freeholder, or any other person, is represented. Here, the aids granted by the represented part of the kingdom must be proportionably paid by themselves; and the laws they make for others, they at the fame time make for themselves. There, the aids they would grant would not be paid, but received, by themfelves ; and the laws they made would be made for others only. In fhort. The relation of one country to another country, whole reprefentatives have the power of taxing it (and of appropriating the money raifed by the taxes) is much the fame with the relation of a country to a fingle defpot, or a body of despots within itself, invested with the like power. In both cafes, the people taxed and those who tax have feparate interefts ; nor can there be any thing to check oppreffion, befides either the abilities of the people taxed, or the humanity of the taxers. But indeed I can never hope to convince that perfon of any thing, who does not fee an effential difference

[23]

ence (a) between the two eafes now mentioned; or between the circumftances of individuals, and claffes of men, making parts of a community imperfectly reprefented in the legiflature that governs it; and the circumftances of a whole community, in a diffant world, not at all reprefented.

But enough has been faid by others on this point; nor is it poffible for me to throw any new light upon it. To finish, therefore, what I meant to offer under this head, I must beg that the following confiderations may be particularly attended to.

The queffion now between us and the Colonies is, Whether, in respect of taxation and internal legislation, they are bound to be subject to the jurisdiction of this kingdom : Or, in other words, Whether the British Parliament has or has net of right, a power to dispose of their property, and to model as it pleases their governments? To this supremacy over them, we fay, we are entitled; and in order to maintain it, we have begun the prefent war.—Let me here enquire,

1A, Whether, if we have now this fupremacy, we shall not be equally entitled to it in any future time? They are now but little short of half our number. To this number they have grown, from a fmall body of original fettlers, by a very rapid increase. The probability is, that they will go on to increase; and that, in 50 or 60 years, they will be double our number; (a) and form a mighty Empire; confifting of a variety of states, all equal or superior to ourfelves in all the arts and accomplishments which give dignity and happines to human life. In that period, will they be, ftill bound to acknowledge that supremacy over them which we now claim ? Can there be any perfon who will affert this; or whofe mind does not revolt at the idea of a vast continent, holding all that is valuable to it, at the diferetion of a handful of people on the other fide the Atlantic? But if, at that period, this would be unreasonable ; what makes it otherwife now ?-Draw the line if you can .- But there is a still greater difficulty.

Britain is now, I will fuppofe, the feat of Liberty and Virtue; and its legiflature confifts of a body of able and independent men, who govern with wifdom and juffice. The time may come when all will be reverfed: When its excellent conflitution of government will be fubverted: When, prefied by debts and taxes, it will be greedy to draw to itfelf an increase of revenue from every diftant Province, in order

(a) It gives me pleafure to find, that the author of the Remarks on the Principal AEts of the 13th Parliament of Great Britain, &c. acknowledges this difference. It has, however, been at the fame time mortifying to me to find fo able a writer adopting fuch principles of government, as are contained in this work. According to him, a people have no property or rights, except fuch as their civil Governors are pleafed not to take from them. Taxes, therefore, he afferts, are in no fenfe the gifts, much lefs the free gifts of the people. See p. 58, and 191. There is indeed one fenfe in which this may be properly faid. Taxes are money levied by the people on themfelves; and no one can give to bimfelf. But this is a fenfe which this writer cannot admit.

(b) See observations on Reversionary Payments, page 207, &c.

to ease its own burdens: When the influence of the crown, ftrengthened by luxury and an universal profligacy of manners, will have tainted every heart, broken down every fence of Liberty and rendered us a nation of tame and contented vaffals: When a General Election will be nothing but a General Auction of Boroughs: And when the Parliament, the Grand Council of the nation, and once the faithful guardian of the state, and a terror to evil ministers, will be degenerated into a body of Sycophants, dependent and venal, always ready to confirm any measures; and little more than a public court for registering royal edicts .- Such, it is poffible, may, fome time or other, be the flate of Great Britain. What will, at that period, be the duty of the Colonies? Will they be still bound to unconditional fubmission ? Must they always continue an appendage to our government; and follow it implicitly through every change that can happen to it ?- Wretched condition, indeed, of millions of freemen as good as ourfelves.-----Will you fay that we now govern equitably; and that there is no danger of any fuch revolution ?- Would to God this were true.-But will you not always fay the fame ? Who shall judge whether we govern equitably or not? Can you give the Colonies any security that fuch a period will never come ?- Once more.

[25

If we have indeed that power which we claim over the legiflations, and internal rights of the Colonies, may we not, whenever we pleafe, subject them to the arbitrary power of the crown ?-----I do not mean, that this would be a difadvantageous change: For I have before observed, that if a people are to be subject to an external power over which they have no command, it is better that power should be lodged in the hands of one man than of a multitude. But many perfons think otherwife; and fuch ought to confider that, if this would be a calamity, the condition of the Colonies must be deplorable. ----- " A government by King, Lords, and Commons, (it has been faid) is the perfection of government;" and fo it is, when the Commons are a just representation of the people; and when also, it is not extended to any diftant people, or communities, not reprefented. But if this is the bef, a government by a King only must be the worft; and every claim implying a right to effablish such a government among any people, must be unjust and cruel. It is felf-evident, that by claiming a right to alter the conflitutions of the Colonies, according to our diferetion, we claim this power : And it is a power that we have thought fit to exercife in one of our Colonies; and that we have attempted to exercife in another.----Canada, according to the late extension of its limits, is a country almost as large as half Europe; and it may possibly come in time to be filled. with British subjects. The Quebec act makes the King of alout in the w

Great

Great Britain a despot over all that country .----- In the Province of Massachuset's Bay, the fame thing has been attempt. ed and begun. The act for BETTER regulating their government, paffed at the fame time with the Quebec act, gives the King the right of appointing, and removing at his pleafure, the members of one part of the legiflature; alters the mode of chufing juries, on purpose to bring it more under the influence of the King ; and takes away from the province the power of calling any meetings of the people without the King's consent. (a)-The Judges, likewise, have been made dependent on the King, for their nomination and pay, and continuance in office.-----If all this is no more than we have a right to do; may we not go on to abolish the House of Representatives, to destroy all trials by juries, and to give up the Province abfolutely and totally to the will of the King?-----May we not even eftablish Popery in the Province, as has been lately done in Canada, leaving the fupport of Protestantism to the King's diferetion ?-----Can there be any Englishman who, were it his own cafe, would not fooner lose his heart's blood, than yield to claims fo

[26]

diftinguish a Freeman from a Slave. I will take this opportunity to add, that what I have now faid, fuggelts a confideration that demonstrates on how different a footing the Colonies are with respect to our government, from particular bodies of men within the kingdom, who happen not to be represented. Here, it is impossible that the represented part should subject the unrepresented part to arbitrary power without including themselves. But in the Colonies it is not impossible. We know that it has been done.

pregnant with evils, and destructive to every thing that can

SECT. II.

Whether the War with America is justified by the Principles of the Constitution.

I HAVE proposed in the next place, to examine the war with the Colonies by the principles of the conflitution. I know, that it is common to fay that we are now maintaining the conflitution in America. If this means that we are endeavouring to establish our own conflitution of government there, it is by no means true; nor, were it true, would it be right. They have chartered governments of their own, with which they are pleased; and which, if any power on earth may change without their confent, that power may likewife, if it thinks proper, deliver them over to the Grand

Signior.

[27]

But I intended here principally to make the following obfervation.

The fundamental principle of our government is, " The right of a people to give and grant their own money." It is of no consequence, in this case, whether we enjoy this right in a proper manner or not. Most certainly we do not. It is, however, the principle on which our government, as a free government, is founded. The firit of the constitution gives it us; and, however imperfectly enjoyed, we glory in it as our first and greatest blessing. It was an attempt to encroach upon this right, in a triffing inflance, that produced the civil war in the reign of Charles the First .- Ought not our brethren in America to enjoy this right as well as ourfelves? Do the principles of the conflitution give it us, but deny it to them? Or can we, with any decency, pretend that when we give to the King their money, we give him our own? (a)-What difference does it make, that in the time of Charles the First the attempt to take away this right was made by one man : but that in the case of America, it is made by a body of men?

In a word, this is a war undertaken not only against the principles of our own constitution, but on purpose to destroy other similar constitutions in *America*; and to substitute in their room a military force. See page 15.——It is, therefore, a gross and flagrant violation of the constitution.

(a) The author of Taxation no Tyranny will undoubtedly affert this without hefitation, for in page 69 he compares our prefent fituation with respect to the Colonies to that of the antient Scythians, who upon returning from a war, found themselves shut out of their OWN Houses by their SLAVES.

Bż

SECT.

[28]

SECT III.

Of the Policy of the War with America.

N writing the prefent Section, I have entered upon a fubject of the last importance, on which much has been faid by other writers with great force, and in the ablest manner (a). But I am not willing to omit any topic which I think of great confequence, merely becaufe it has already been difcuffed: And, with respect to this in particular, it will, I believe, be found that some of the observations on which I shall infist, have not been sufficiently attended to.

The object of this war has been often enough declared to be, " maintaining the fupremacy of this country over the Colonies." I have already enquired how far reason and juftice, the principles of Liberty, and the rights of humanity, entitle us to this supremacy. Setting aside, therefore, now all confideration of this kind, I would observe, that this supremacy is to be maintained, either merely for its own fake, or for the fake of fome public interest connected with it and dependent upon it .- If for its oron fake; the only object of the war is the extension of dominion; and its only motive is the luft of power .- All government, even within a flate, becomes tyrannical, as far as it is a needlefs and wanton exercife of power; or is carried farther than is abfolutely neceffary to preferve the peace and to fecure the fafety of the state. This what an excellent writer calls GOVERNING TOO MUCH; and its effect must always be, weakening government by rendering it contemptible and odious.-----Nothing can beof more importance, in governing diltant provinces and ad-jufting the clashing interest of different focieties, than attention to this remark. In these circumstances it is partieularly necessary to make a sparing use of power, in order to preferve power .- Happy would it have been for Great Britain, had this been remembered by those who have lately conducted its affairs. But our policy has been of another kind. At the period when our authority fhould have been most concealed, it has been brought most in view; and, by a progreffion of violent measures, every one of which has increased diffress, we have given the world reason to conclude, that we are acquainted with no other method of governing than by force.------What a fhocking miftake?

(a) See particularly, a Speech intended to have been spoken on the bill for altering the Charter of the Colony of Massachuset's Bay; the Confideration on the Measures carrying on with respect to the British Celones; the. Two Appeals to the Justice and Interests of the People; and the Further Examination of our present American measures. If If our object is power, we fhould have known better how to ufe it; and our rulers fhould have confidered, that freemenwill always revolt at the fight of a naked fword; and that the complicated affairs of a great kingdom, holding in fubordination to it a multitude of diffant communities, all jealous of their rights, and warmed with fpirits as high as our own, require not only the most fkilful, but the most cautious and tender management. The confequences of a different management we are now feeling. We fee qurfelves drivenamong rocks, and in danger of being loft.

[29 TF .

There are the following reasons which seem to make it too probable, that the present contest with America is a contest for power only (a), abstracted from all the advantages connected with it.

A. There is a love of power inherent in human nature : and it cannot be uncharitable to suppose that the nation in general, and the cabinet in particular, are too likely to be influenced by it. What can be more flattering than to look across the Ailantic, and to see in the boundless continent of America, increasing MILLIONS whom we have a right to order as we pleafe, who hold their property at our disposal, and who have no other law than our will. With what complacency have we been used to talk of them as our subjects ? Is it not the interruption they now give to this pleature: Is " it not the opposition they make to our pride; and not any injury they have done us, that is the fecret fpring of a prefent animofity against them ? I wish all in this kingdom would examine themfelves carefully on this point. Perhaps they might find, that they have not known what fpirit they are of. Perhaps they would become fendible, that it was a fpirit of domination more than a regard to the true interest of this country, that lately led fo many of them, with fuch favage folly, to address the throne for the flaughter of their brothen in America, if they will not fubmit to them; and to make offers of their lives and fortunes for that purpole.----Indeed, I am perfuaded, that, were price and the luft of dominion exterminated from every heart among us, and the humility of Christians infused in their room, this guarrel would be foon ended.

2dly. Another reason for believing that this is a contest for power only is, that our ministers have frequently declared, that their object is not to draw a revenue from *America*; and that many of those who are warmest for continuing it, represent the *American trade* as of no great confequence.

(a) I have heard it faid by a perfon in one of the first departments of the frate, that the prefent contest is for *Dominion* on the fide of the Colonies, as well as on ours: And fo it is indeed; but with this effential difference. We are struggling for dominion over OTHERS. They are fruggling for SELF-dominion: The noties of all bleffings.

B 3

But what deferves particular confideration here is, that this is a conteft from which no advantages can possibly be derived. Not a revenue: For the provinces of America, when desolated, will afford no revenue; or if they should, the expence of fubduing them and keeping them in fubjection will much exceed that revenue .--. Not any of the advantages of trade : For it is a folly next to infanity, to think trade can be promoted by impoverishing our cuttomers, and fixing in their minds an everlassing abhorrence of us .-- It remains, therefore, that this war can have no other object than the extension of power .--- Miferable reflection !--- To sheath our fwords in the bowels of our brethen, and spread mifery and ruin among a happy people, for no other end than to oblige them to acknowledge our fupremacy. How horrid!_____This is the curfed ambition that led a Cafar and an Alexander; and many other mad conquerors, to attack peaceful communities, and to lay wafte the earth.

[30]

But a worfe principle than even this, influences fome among us. Pride and the love of dominion are principles hateful enough; but blind refentment, and the defire of revenge, are infernal principles : And thefe, I am afraid, have no fmall fhare at prefent in guiding our publick conduct. One cannot help, indeed, being aftonished at the virulence with which fome fpeak against the Colonies. For, what have they done ? Have they croffed the ocean and invaded us? Have they attempted to take from us the fruits of our labour, and to overturn that form of government which we hold fo facred ? This cannot be pretended. On the contrary This is what we have done to them. We have transported ourfelves to their peaceful retreats, and employed our fleets and armies to flop up their ports, to deftroy their commerce, to feize their effects, and to burn their towns. Would we but let them alone, and fuffer them to enjoy in fecurity their property and governments, instead of disturbing us, they would thank and blefs us. And yet it is WE who imagine ourfelves ill-ufed. The truth is, we expected to find them a cowardly rabble, who would lie quietly at our feet; and they have difappointed us. They have rifen in their own defence, and repelled force by force? They deny the boundless plenitude of our power over them ; and infift upon being tr ated as free communities. It is THIS that has provoked us; and kindled our governors into rage.

I hope I fhall not here be underftood to intimate, that all who promote this war are actuated by thefe principles. Some, I doubt not, are influenced by no other principle, than a regard to what they think the juft authority of this country over its Colonies, and to the unity and indivisibility of the British Empire. I wish fuch could be engaged to enter

31 7 ter thoroughly into the inquiry, which has been the fubject of the first part of this pamphlet; and to confider, particularly, how different a thing maintaining the authority of government within a state is from maintaining the authority of one people over another, already happy in the enjoyment of a government of their own. I wish farther they would confider, that the defire of maintaining authority is warrantable only as far as it is the means of promoting fome end, and doing fome good ; and that before we refolve to spread famine and fire through a country, in order to make it acknowledge our authority, we ought to be affured that great advantages will arife not only to ourfelves, but to the country we wilh to conquer. That from the prefent contest no advantage to ourselves can arise; has been already shewn, and will prefently be flown more at large. That no advantage to the Colonies can arife from it, need not, I hope be fhewn. It has however, been afferted, that even their good is intended by this war. Many of us are perfuaded, that they will be much happier under our government, than under any government of their own; and that their liberties will be fafer when held for them by us; than when trufted in their own hands. How kind is it thus to take upon us the trouble of judging for them what is most for their happines? Nothing can be kinder except the refolution we have formed to exterminate them, if they will not fubmit to our judgment. What strange language have I fometimes heard? By an armed force we are now endeavouring to deftroy the laws and governments of America; and yet I have heard it faid, that we are endeavouring to support law and government there. We are infifting upon our right to levy contributions upon them; and to maintain this right; we are bringing upon them all the miferies a people can endure; and yet it is afferted, that we mean nothing but their fecurity and happinefs.

But I have wandered a little from the point I intended principally to infift upon in this fection, which is, " the fol-" ly, in respect of policy, of the measures which have brought

" on this contest; and its pernicious and fatal tendency. The following obfervations will, I believe abundantly prove this.

1.A. There are points which are likely always to fuffer by discussion. Of this kind are most points of authority and prerogative; and the best policy is to avoid, as much as posfible, giving any occafion for calling them in o queflion.

The Colonies were at the beginning of this reign in the habit of acknowledging our authority, and of allowing us as much power over them as our interest required; and more, in fome inflances, than we could reafonably claim. This habit they would have retained; and had we, inflead of imposing new burdens upon them, and increasing their ref-B 4

traints.

traints, fludied to promote their commerce, and to grant. them new indulgences, they would have been always grow-ing more attached to us. Luxury, and, together with it, their dependence upon us, and our influence (a) in their alfemblies, would have increased, till in time perhaps they would have become as corrupt as ourfelves; and we might have succeeded to our wishes in establishing our authority over them .--- But, happily for them, we have chosen a different courfe. By exertions of authority which have alarmed them, they have been put upon examining into the grounds of all our claims, and forced to give up their luxuries, and to feek all their refources within themselves: And the iffue is likely to prove the lofs of all our authority over them, and of all the advantages connected with it. So little do men in power fometimes know how preferve power; and fo remarkably does the defire of extending dominion fometimes deftroy it .--- Mankind are naturally disposed to continue in fubjection to that mode of government, be it what it will, under which they have been born and educated. Nothing roufes them into refiftance but grofs abules, or some particular oppreffion out of the road to which they have been uled. And he who will examine the hiftory of the world will find there has generally been more reason for complaining that they have been too patient, than that they have been turbulent and mbellious.

[32]

Our governors, ever fince I can remember have been jealous that the Colonies, fome time or other, would throw off their dependence. This jealoufy was not founded on any of their acts or declarations. They have always, while at peace with us, difclaimed any fuch defign; and they have continued to difclaim it fince they have been at war with us. I have reason, indeed, to believe, that independency is even at this moment, generally dreaded among them as a calamity to which they are in danger of being driven, in order to avoid a greater The jealoufy I have mentioned was, however, natural; and betrayed a fecret opinion, that the fubjection in which they were held was more than we could expect them always to endure. In fuch circumstances, all potfible care fhould have been taken to give them no reason for difcontent, and to preferve them in subjection, by keeping in that line of conduct to which cuftom had reconciled them, or at least by never deviating from it, except with great caution; and particularly, by avoiding all direct attacks on their property and legislations. Had we done this, the different interests of fo many states scattered over a vait

(a) This has been our policy with refpect to the people of *Ireland*; and the confequence is, that we now fee their Parliament as obedient as we can wife.

con-

C 33 **J** continent, joined to our own prudence and moderation, would have enabled us to maintain them in dependence for ages to come.--But inflead of this, how have we acted ?---It is in truth too evident, that our whole conduct, inflead of being directed by that found policy and forefight which in fuch circumflances were abfolutely neceffary, has been nothing (to fay the beft of it) but a feries of the blindeft rigour followed by retraction; of violence followed by conceffion; of miftake, weaknefs, and inconfiftency.---A recital of a few facts, within every body's recollection, will fully prove this.

In the 6th of George the Second, an act was passed for impoling certain duties on all foreign spirits, molaffes, and fugars imported into the plantations. In this act, the duties imposed are faid to be GIVEN and GRANTED by the Parliament to the King; and this is the first American act in which these words have been used. But notwithstanding this, as the act had the appearance of being only a regulation oftrade, the Colonies fubmitted to it; and a small direct revenue was drawn by it from them.---In the 4th of the prefent reign, many alterations were made in this act, with the declared purpose of making provision for raising a revenue in America. This alarmed the Colonies, and produced difcontents and remonstrances, which might have convinced our rulers this was tender groundy on which it became them to tread very gently.--- There is, however, no reason to doubt, but in time they would have funk into a quiet fubmiffion to this revenue act, as being at worft only the exercise of a power which then they feem not to have thought much of contelling; I mean, the power of taxing them EXTERNALLY. --- But before they had time to cool, a worfe provocation was given them; and the STAMP-ACT was paffed. This being an attempt to tax them iNTERNALLY; and a direct attack on their property; by a power which would not fuffer itself to be quellioned; which eased *itself* by loading them; and to which it was impossible to fix any bounds; they were thrown at once from one end of the continent to the other, into refiftance and rage.-Government, dreading the confequences, gave way; and the Parliament (upon a change of ministry) repealed the Stamp-Act without requiring from them any recognition of its authority, or doing any more to preferve its dianity, than afferting, by the de-claratory law, that it was poffeffed of full power and authority to make laws to bind them in all cafes whatever.-Upon this, peace was reftored; and had no farther attempts of the fame kind been made, they would undoubtedly have fuffered us (as the people of Ireland have done) to enjoy quietly our declaratory law. They would have recovered their former habits of fubjection; and our connexion with them might have continued an increasing fource of our wealth and glory.—But the fpirit of despotifin and avarice, . always blind and reftless, soon broke forth again. The B 5 fcheme '

scheme for drawing a revenue from America, by parliamentary taxation, was refumed; and in a little more than a year after the repeal of the Stamp-Act, when all was peace, a third act was passed, imposing duties payable in America on tea, paper, glass, painters colours, &c .--- This, as might have been expected, revived all the former heats; and the Empire was a fecond time threatened with the most dangerous commotions .-- Government receded again ; and the Parliament (under another change of ministry) repealed all the obnoxious duties, EXCEPT that upon tea. This exception was made in order to maintain a fhew of dignity. But it was, in reality, facrificing fafety to pride, and leaving a fplinter in the wound to produce a gangrene. For some time, however, this relaxation anfwered its intended purpofes. Our commercial intercourse with the Colonies was again recovered; and they avoided nothing but that tea which we had excepted in our repeal. In this flate would things have remained, and even tea would perhaps in time have been gradually admitted, had not the evil Genius of Britain stepped forth once more to embroil the Empire.

The East Irdia company having fallen under difficulties, partly in confequence of the lofs of the American market for tea, a scheme was formed for assisting them by an attempt to recover that market. With this view an act was paffed to enable them to export their tea to America free of all duties here, and fubject only to 3d. per pound duty, payable in America. By this expedient they were enabled to offer it at a low price; and it was expected the confequence would prove that the Colonies would be tempted by it; a precedent gained for taxing them, and at the same time the Company relieved. Ships were, therefore, fitted out; and large cargoes fent. The fnare was too grofs to efcape the notice of the Colonies. They faw it, and fpurned at it. They refufed to admit the tea; and at Boston some persons in disguise buried it in the sea. Had our governors in this cafe satisfied • themfelves with requiring a compensation from the province for the damage done, there is no doubt but it would have been granted. Or had they proceeded no farther in the infliction of punifhment, than stopping up the port and deftroying the trade of Boston, till compensation was made, the province might poffibly have fubmitted, and a fufficient faving would have been gained for the honour of the nation. But having hitherto proceeded without wildom, they obferved now no bounds in their refentment. To the Bofton port bill was added a bill which deftroyed the chartered government of the province; a bill which withdrew from the jurisdiction of the province, perfens who in particular cafes fhould commit murder; and the Quebec bill, At the fame time a ftrong body of troops was flationed at Boston to enforce obedience to these bills.

All

All who knew any thing of the temper of the Colonies faw that the effect of this fudden accumulation of vengeance, would probably be not intimidating but exafperating them, and driving them into a general revolt. But our minifters had different apprehentions. They believed that the malecontents in the Colony of *Maffachufett's* were a fmall party, headed by a few factious men; that the majority of the people would take the fide of government, as foon as they faw a force among them capable of fupporting them; that, at worft, the Colonies in general would never make a common caufe with this province; and that, the iffue would prove, in a few months, order, tranquillity, and fubmiffion.— Every one of these apprehentions was falfified by the events that followed.

When the bills I have mentioned came to be carried into execution, the whole province was thrown into confusion. Their courts of justice were shut up, and all government was diffolved. The commander in chief found it necessary to fortify himself in Beston; and the other Colonies immediately refolved to make a common cause with this Colony.

So ftrangely mifinformed were our Miniflers, that this was all a furprife upon them. They took fright, therefore; and once more made an effort to retreat; but indeed the most ungracious one that can well be imagined. A propofal was fent to the Colonies, called Conciliatory; and the fubilitance of which was, that if any of them would raife fuch fums as fhould be demanded of them by taxing themfelves, the Parliament would forbear to tax them. It will be fearcely believed, hereafter, that fuch a propofal could be thought conciliatory. It was only telling them; "If "you will tax yourfelves by our order, we we will fave our-"felves the trouble of taxing you." They received the propofal as an infult; and rejected it with diffain:

At the time this concession was transmitted to America, open hoftilities were not begun. In the fword our Ministers thought they had still a refource which would immediately fettle all disputes. They confidered the people of New-England as nothing but a mob, who would be foon routed and forced into obedience. It was even believed, that a few thousands of our army might march through all America, and make all quiet wherever they went. Under this conviction our Ministers did not dread urging the Province of Massachusett's Bay into rebellion, by ordering the army to feize their ftores, and to take up fome of their leading men. The attempt was made. The people fled immediately to arms, and repelled the attack. A confiderable part of the flower of the British army has been destroyed. Some of our belt Generals and the bravelt of our troops, are now difgracefully and miferably imprisoned at Boston. A. horrid **B** 6

hørrid civil war is commenced ;-And the empire is diftracted and convulfed.

Can it be poffible to think with patience of the policy that has brought us into their circumflances? Did ever Heaven punifh the vices of a people more feverely by darkening their counfels? How great would be our happinefs could we now recal former times, and return to the policy of the laft reigns? But those times are gone. I will, however, beg leave for a few moments to look back to them; and to compare the ground we have left with that on which we find ourfelves. This must be done with deep regret; but it forms a neceffary part of my prefent defign.

In those times our Colonies, foregoing every advantage which they might derive from trading with foreign nations, confented to fend only to us whatever it was for our intereft to receive from them; and to receive only from us whatever it was for our interest to fend to them. They gave up the power of making fumptuary laws, and exposed themselves to all the evils of an increasing and wasteful luxury, because we were benefited by vending among them the materials of The iron with which Providence had bleffed their it. country, they were required by laws, in which they acquiesced, to transport hither, that our people might be maintained by working it for them into nails, ploughs, ares, &c. And, in feveral inflances, even one Colony was not allowed to supply any neighbouring Colonies with commodities, which should be conveyed to them from hence .-But they yielded much farther. They confented that we could have the appointment of one branch of their legiflature. By recognizing as their King, a King relident among us and under our influence, they gave us a negative on all their laws. By allowing an appeal to us in their civil difputes, they gave us likewife the ultimate determination of all civil caufes among them.---In fhort; They allowed us every power we could defire, except that of taxing them. and interfering in their internal legiflation's: And they had admitted precedents which, even in these instances, gave us no inconfiderable authority over them. By purchaing our goods they paid our taxes; and, by allowing us to regulate their trade in any manner we thought most for our advantage, they enriched our merchants, and helped us to bear our growing burdens. They fought our battles with us. They gloried in their relation to us. All their gains centered among us; and they always spoke of this country and looked to it as their home.

Such was the flate of things. — What is it now?

Not contented with a degree of power, fufficient to fatisfy any reasonable ambition, we have attempted to extend it. Not contented with drawing from them a large revenue *indirectly*, we have endeavoured to procure one *directly* by an

autho-

authoritative feizure; and, in order to gain a pepper-corn in this way, have chosen to hazard millions, acquired by the peaceable intercourse of trade.---Vile policy! What a fcourge is government so conducted ?----Had we never de-ferted our old ground: Had we nourished and favoured America, with a view to commerce, instead of confidering it as a country to be governed : Had we, like a liberal and wife people, rejoiced to fee a multitude of free states branched forth from ourfelves, all enjoying independent legiflatures fimilar to our own: Had we aimed at binding them to us only by the ties of affection and intereft; and contented ourfelves with a moderate power rendered durable by being lenient and friendly, an umpire in their differences, an aid to them in improving their own free governments, and their common bulwark against the affaults of foreign enemies : Had this, I fay, been our policy and temper; there is nothing fo great or happy that we might not have expected. With their increase our strength would have increased. A growing furplus in the revenue might have been gained, which, invariably applied to the gradual discharge of the national debt, would have delivered us from the ruin with which it threatens us. The liberty of America might have preferved our liberty; and under the direction of a patriot King or wife Minister, proved the means of reftoring to us our almost lost constitution. Perhaps, in time, we might also have been brought to fee the neceffity of carefully watching and reftricting our paper credit : And thus we might have regained fafety ; and, in union with our Colonies, have been more than a match for every enemy, and rifen to a fituation of honour and dignity never before known amongst mankind .---- But I am forgetting myfelf .- Our Colonies are likely to be loft for ever. Their love is turned into hatred; and their refpect for our government into refentment and abhorrence. We shall fee more diffinctly what a calamity this is, and the obfervations I have now made will be confirmed, by attending to the following facts.

[37[°]]

Our American Colonies, particularly the Northern ones, have been for fome time in the happieft flate of fociety; or, in that middle flate of civilization, between its first rude and its last refined and corrupt flate. Old countries confist, generally, of three classes of people; a GENTRY; a YEO-MANRY; and a PEASANTRY. The Colonies confist only of a body of YEOMAMRY (a) supported by agriculture, and all

(a) Except the negroes in the Southern Colonies, who probably will now either foon become extinct, or have their condition changed into that of *frlemen*.——It is not the fault of the Colonies that they have among them fo many of thefe unhappy people. They have made laws to prohibit the importation of them; but thefe laws have always had a negative independent and nearly upon a level; in confequence of which, joined to a boundlefs extent of country, the means of fubfiftence are procured without difficulty, and the temptations to wickednefs are fo inconfiderable, that executions (a) are feldom known among them. From hence arifes an encouragement to population fo great, that in fome of the Colonies they double their own number in fifteen years; in others, in eighteen years; and in all, taken one with another, in twenty-five years. Such an increafe was, I believe, never before known. It demonstrates that they must live at their eafe; and be free from those cares, oppreffions, and difeafes which depopulate and ravage luxurious states.

With the population of the Colonies has increased their trade: but much faiter, on account of the gradual introduction of luxury among them. In 1723 the exports to Penfylvania were 16,000l. In 1742 they were 75,295l. In 1757 they were increased to 268, 426l. and in 1773 to half a million.

The exports to all the Colonies in 1744, were 640,114!. In 1758, they were increased to 1,832.9481. and in 1773, to three millions. (b) And the probability is, that, had it not been for the discontents among the Colonies fince the year 1764, our trade with them would have been this year double to what it was in 1773; and that in a few years more, it would not have been possible for the whole kingdom, though confisting only of manufacturers, to supply the American demand.

This trade it fhould be confidered, was not only thus an increasing trade, but it was a trade in which we had no rivals; a trade certain, conflant, and uninterrupted; and which, by the fhipping employed in it, and the naval flores fupplied by it, contributed greatly to the fupport of that navy which is our chief national flrength. Viewed in thefe lights it was an object unspeakably important. But it will appear flill more fo if we view it in its connexions and dependencies. It is well known, that our trade with Africa and the West-Indies cannot eafily fubfift without it. And, upon the whole, it is undeniable, that it has been one of the main fprings of our opulence and fplendour; and that we have in a great measure, been indebted to it for our abi-

gative put upon them here, becaufe of their tendency to hurt our negro trade.

(a) In the county of Suffolk, where Bofton is, there has not been, I am informed, more than one execution thefe 18 years.

(b) Mr Burke (in his excellent and admirable fpeech on moving his refolutions for conciliation with the Colonies, p. 9, &c.) has flown, that our trade to the Colonies, including that to Africa and the Weft-Indies, was in 1772, nearly equal to the trade which we carried on with the whole world at the beginning of this century. lity to bear a debt fo much neavier than that which, fifty years ago, the wifelt men thought would neceffarily fink us. This ineftimable prize, and all the advantages connected

[39]

This meltimable prize, and an the advance. Experience with America, we are now throwing away. Experience alone can fhewiwhat calamities mult follow. It will indeed be aftonifhing if this kingdom can bear fuch a lofs without dreadful contequences. These confequences have been amply reprefented by others; and it is needlefs to enter into any account of them :--at the time we fhall be feeling them. --The empire difmembered and exposed; the blood of thousands fhed in an unrighteous quarrel; our firength exhausted; our merchants breaking; our manufacturers flarving; our debts increasing; the revenue finking; the funds tottering; and all the mileries of a public bankruptcy impending: At such a crifis should our natural enemies, eager for our ruin, feize the opportunity---The apprehenfion is too distressing.---Let us view this subject in another light.

On this occafion, particular attention fhould be given to the prefent SINGULAR fituation of this kingdom. This is a circumstance of the utmost importance; and as I am afraid it is not much confidered, I will beg leave to give a distinct account of it.

At the REVOLUTION; the specie of the kingdom amounted, according to (a) Davenant's account, to eighteen millions and a half .-- From the ACCESSION to the year 1772, there were coined at the mint, near twenty-nine millions of gold; and in ten years only of this time, or from January 1759 to January 1769, there were coined eight millions and an half. (b) But it has appeared lately, that the gold specie now left in the kingdom is no more than about twelve millions and an half. Not fo much as half a million of filwer specie has been coined thefe fixty years; and it cannot be supposed, that the quantity of it now in circulation exceeds two or three millions. The whole specie of the kingdom, therefore, is probably at this time about (c) fourteen or fisteen millions. Of this feveral millions must be hoarded at the Bank. Our circulating fpecie, therefore, appears to be greatly decreafed. But our wealth, or the quantity of money in the kingdom, is greatly increased. This is paper to a valt amount, issued in almost every corner of the kingdom; and, particularly, by the Bank of England. While this paper maintains its credit it answers all the purposes of fpecie, and is in all refpects the fame with money.

(a) See Dr. Dawenant's Works, collected and revifed by Sir Charles Whitworth, Vol. I. page 363, &c. 443, &c.

(b) See Confiderations on Money, Bullion, &c. Page 2 and 11.
(c) Or nearly the fame that it was in Cromwell's time. See Dr.

Davenant's Works. Vol. I, page 365. Specie

Specie reprefents fome real value in goods or commodities. On the contrary; paper represents immediately nothing but specie. It is a promise or obligation, which the emitter brings himfelf under to pay a given fum in coin; and it owes its currency to the credit of the emitter, or to an opinion that he is able to make good his engagement; and that the fum fpecified may be received upon being demanded. Paper, therefore, represents coin; and coin reprefents real value. That is, the one is a fign of wealth, the other is the fign of that fign. But farther. Coin is an univerfal fign of wealth, and will procure it every where. It will bear any alarm, and fland any flock. On the contrary. Paper, owing its currency to opinion, has only a local and imaginary value. It can stand no shock. It is destroyed by the approach of danger; or even the fuspicion of danger.

In fhort. Coin is the basis of our paper-credit; and were it either all destroyed, or were only the quantity of it reduced beyond a certain limit, the paper circulation of the kingdom would fink at once. But, were our paper destroyed, the coin would not only remain, but rife in value, in proportion to the quantity of paper destroyed.

From this account it follows, that as far as, in any circumftances, fpecie is not to be procured in exchange for paper, it reprefents nothing, and is worth nothing. The fpecie of this kingdom is inconfiderable, compared with the amount of the paper circulating in it. This is generally believed; and, therefore, it is natural to enquire how its currency is fupported. The anfwer is eafy. It is fupported in the fame manner with all other bubbles. Were all to demand fpecie in exchange for their notes, payment could not be made; but, at the fame time that this is known, every one trufts that no alarm producing fuch a demand will happen, while he holds the paper he is poffeffed of; and that if at fhould happen, he would ftand a chance for being firft paid; and this makes him eafy. But let any events happen which threaten danger; and every one will become diffident. A run will take place; and a bankruptcy follow.

This is an account of what bas often happened in privatecredit. And it is alfo an account of what will (if no change of measures takes place) happen fome time or other in public credit. The description I have given of our paper circulation implies, that nothing can be more delicate or hazardous. It is an immense fabrick, with its head in the clouds, that is continually trembling with every adverse blast and every fluctuation of trade; and which, like the baseless fabrick of a vision, may in a moment vanish, and leave no wreck behind. The destruction of a few books at the Bank; an improvement in the art of forgery; the landing of a body of French troops on our coasts; infurrections threatning threatning a revolution in government; or any events that fhould produce a general panic, however groundless, would at once annihilate it, and leave us without any other medium of traffic, than a quantity of specie scarcely equal in amount to the money now drawn from the public by the taxes. It would, therefore, become impossible to pay the taxes. The revenue would fail. Near a hundred and forty millions of property would be destroyed. The whole frame of government would fall to pieces; and a state of nature would take place. What a dreadful fituation ? It has never had a parallel among mankind; except at one time in France after the establishment there of the Royal Miffifippi Bank. In 1720 this Bank broke; (a) and, after involving for fome time the whole kingdom in a golden dream, fpread through it in one day, defolation and ruin. The diffrefs attending fuch an event, in this free country, would be greater than it was in France. Happily for that kingdom, they have shot this gulph. Paper-credit has never fince recovered itfelf there; and their circulating cash confilts now all of folid coin, amounting, I am informed, to no lefs a fum than 1500 millions of livres; or near 67 millions of pounds sterling. This gives them unspeakable advantages ; and joined to that quick reduction of their debts which is infeparable (b) from their nature, places them on a ground of lafety which we have reason to admire and envy.

- -[-41 -]

Thefe are fubjects on which I fhould have chofen to be filent, did I not think it neceffary, that this country fhould be apprized and warned of the danger which threatens it. This danger is created chiefly by the national debt. High taxes are neceffary to fupport a great public debt; and a large fupply of cafh is neceffary to fupport high taxes. This cafh we owe to our paper; and in proportion to our paper, mult be the productivenefs of our taxes. King William's wars drained the kingdom of its specie. This funk the revenue, and distreffed government. In 1694 the Bank was established; and the kingdom was provided with a fubfiture for specie. The taxes became again productive. The re-

(a) See Sir James Stewart's Enquiry into the Principles of political Occonomy, Vol. H. Book 4, Chap. 32.

(b) Their debts confift chiefly of money raifed by annuities on lives, fhort annuities, anticipations of taxes for fhort terms, &c. During the whole laft war they added to their perpetual annuities only 12 millions fterling, according to Sir James Steuart's account; whereas we added to these annuities near 60 millions. In confequence therefore of the nature of their debts, as well as of the management they are now using for haftening the reduction of them, they must in a few years, if peace continues, be eafed of most of their incumbrances; while we probably (if no event comes foon that will unburthen us at once) shall continue with them all upon us.

venue

venue role, and government was relieved.—Ever fince that period our paper and taxes have been increasing together, and supporting one another; and one reason, undoubtedly, of the late increase in the productiveness of our taxes has been the increase of our paper.

[42]

Was there no public debt, there would be no occasion for half the prefent taxes. Our paper circulation might be reduced. The balance of trade would turn in our favour. Specie would flow in upon us. The quantity of property deftroyed by a failure of paper credit (fhould it in fuch circumftances happen) would be 140 millions lefs; and, therefore, the fhock attending it would be tolerable. But in the prefent flate of things, whenever any calamity or panic fhall produce fuch a failure, the fhock attending it will be *intolerable*. — May Heaven foon raife up for us fome great flatefman who fhall fee thefe things; and enter into effectual measures, if not now too late, for extricating and preferving us.

Public banks are undoubtedly, attended wih great conveniences. But they allo do great harm; and, if their emiffions are not restrained, and conducted with great wildom, they may prove the most pernicious of all inftitutions; not only by fubflituting fictitious for real wealth; by increasing luxury : by raising the prices of provisions ; by concealing an unfavourable balance of trade; and by rendering a kingdom incapable of bearing any internal tumults or external attacks, without the danger of a dreadful convultion : But, particularly, by becoming inflruments in the hands of miniflers of state to increase their influence, to leff:n their dependence on the people, and to keep up a delusive shew of public prosperity, when, perhaps, ruin may be near. There is, in truth, nothing that a government may not do with fuch a mine at its command as a public bank, while it can maintain its credit; nor, therefore, is there any thing more likely to be IMPROPERLY and DANGEROUSLY ufed .- But to return to what may be more applicable to our own flate at prefent.

Among the caufes that may produce a failure of papercredit, there are two which the prefent quarrel with America calls upon us particularly to confider.—The fift is, "An unfavourable balance of trade." This, in proportion to the degree in which it takes place, must tern the courfe of foreign exchange against us, raife the price of bullion, and carry off our fpecie.

The

[43] The danger to which this would expose us is obvious; and it has been much increased by the new coinage of the gold specie which began in 1773. Before this coinage, the greatest part of our gold coin being light, but the fame in currency as if it had been heavy, always remained in the kingdom. But, being now full weight, whenever a wrong balance of foreign trade alters the courfe of exchange, and gold in coin becomes of lefs value than in bullion, there is reason to fear, that it will be melted down in such great quantities, and exported fo fast, as in a little time to leave none behind; (a) the con'equence of which must prove, that the whole fuperstructure of papercredit, now supported by it, will break down - The only remedy, in fuch circumstances, is an increase of coinage at the mint .- But this will operate too flowly; and, by raifing the price of bullion, will only increase the evil,-It is the Bank that at fuch a time must be the immediate fufferer : For it is from thence that those who want coin for any purpose will always draw it.

For many years before 1773, the price of gold in bullion had been, from 2 to 3 per cent. higher than in coin. This was a temptation to melt down and export the coin, which could not be refifted. Hence arole a demand for it on the BANK; and, confequently, the neceffity of purchafing bullion at a lofs for a new coinage. But the more coin the Bank procured in this way, the lower its price became in comparison with that of bullion, and the fafter it va-

(a) Mr. Lowondes, in the diffute between him and Mr. Locke, contended for a reduction of the flandard of filver. One of his reafins was, that it would render the filver coin more commenfurate to the wants of the nation ; and CHECK HAZARDOUS PAPER-CREDIT .- Mr. Conduit, Sir Ifaac Newton's succeffor in the Mint, has proposed in direct contrad Guon to the laws now in being, that all the bullion imported into the kingdom should be carried into the Mint to be coined; and only coin allowed to be exported. " The height, he fays, of Paper credit is the " ftrongeft argument for trying this and every other method that is likely " to increase the coinage. For whilft Paper-credit does in a great measure " the bufinefs of money at home, merchants and bankers are not under " a neceffity, as they were formerly, of coining a quantity of fpecie for " their home trade; and as Paper-credit brings money to the merchants " to be exported, the money may go away infenfibly, and NOT, BE " MISSED TILL IT BE TOO LATE: And where Paper-credit is " large and increasing, if the money be exported and the coinage de-" creafe, THAT CREDIT MAY SINK AT ONCE; for want of a " proportionable quantity of Specie, which alone can fupport it in a time of diffrefs." — See Mr. Conduit's Obfe vations on the flate of our Gold and Silver Coins in 1730, Page 36 to 46.

nished;

nished; and, confequently, the more neceffary it became to coin again, and the greater loss fell upon the Bank. — Had things continued much longer in this train, the confequences might have proved very ferious. I am by no means fufficiently informed to be able to affign the caules which have produced the change that happened in 1773. But, without doubt, the flate of things that took place before that year must be expected to return. The fluctuations of trade, in its beft flate, render this unavoidable. — But the conteft with our Colonies has a tendency to bring it on foon, and to increase unspeakably the diffrefs attending it.

TE-44 -I

All know that the balance of trade with them is greatly in our favour; (a) and that this balance is paid partly by direct remittances of bullion; and partly by circuitous remittances through Spain, Portugal, Italy, &c. which diminifh the balance againft us with these countries.— During the last year they have been employed in paying their debts, without adding to them; and their exportations and remittances for that purpose have contributed to render the general balance of trade more favourable to us, and, also, (in conjunction with the late operations of the Bank) to keep up our funds. These remittances are now ceased; and a year or two will determine, if, this contest goes on, how far we can fusitain such a loss without suffering the confequences I have defcribed.

The fecond event, ruinous to our paper-circulation, which may arife from our rupture with America, is a deficiency in the revenue. As a failure of our paper would deftroy the revenue, fo a failure of the revenue, or any confiderable diminution of it, would deftroy our paper. The BANK is the fupport of our paper; and the fupport of the BANK is the credit of government. Its principal fecurities, are a capital of eleven millions lent to government; and money continually advanced to a vaft amount on the Land-tax, and Malt-tax, Sinking Fund, Exchequer Bills, Navy Bills, &c. Should, therefore, deficiencies in the revenue bring government under any difficulties, all thefe fecurities would lofe their value, and the Bank and Government, and all private and

(a) According to the accounts of the exports to, and imports from the North-American Colonies, laid before Parliament; the balance in our favour appears to have been for 11 years before 1774, near a million and a kalf annually.

public.

public credit, would fall together. - Let any one here imagine, what would probably follow, were it but fufrected by the public in general, that the taxes were fo fallen, as not to produce enough to pay the interest of the public debts, besides bearing the ordinary expences of the nation ; and that, in order to fupply the deficiency and to hide the calamity, it had been neceffary in any one year to anticipate the taxes, and to borrow of the Bank .- In fuch circumftances I can fearcily doubt, but an alarm would fpread of the most dangerous teadency .- The next foreign war, should it prove balf as expensive as the last, will probably occasion such a deficiency ; and bring our affairs to that crifis towards which they have been long tending .- But the war with America has a greater tendency to do this; and the reafon is, that it affects our refources more; and is attended more with the danger of internal disturbances.

F 45]

Some have made the proportion of our trade depend. ing on North America to be near ONE HALF. A moderate computation makes it a THIRD. (a) Let it, however, be supposed to be only a FOURTH. 1 will venture to fay, this is a proportion of our foreign trade, the loss of which, when it comes to be felt, will be found infupportable. - In the article of Tobacco alone it will caufe a deduction from the Cuffons of at least 300,000 l. per ann. (b) including the duties paid on foreign commodities purchased by the exportation of tobacco.----Let the whole deduction from the revenue be supposed to be only half a million. This alone is more than the kingdom can at prefent bear, without having recourse to additional taxes in order to defray the common and neceffary expences of peace. But to this must be added a deduction from the produce of the Excifes, in

 (a) See the fubftance of the evidence on the petition prefented by the Weff-India Pfanters and Merchants to the Houfe of Commons, as it was introduced at the BAR; and fumm'd up by Mr. GLOVER.
 (b) The annual average of the payments into the Exchequer, on

(b) The annual average of the psynthetic five years, from 1770 to account of the duties on tobacco, was for five years, from 1770 to 1774, 219,1171. exclusive of the payments from Scotland.—Near one half of the tobacco trade is carried on fron Scotland; and above four fifths of the tobacco imported is afterwards exported to France, Gerfifths of the tobacco. From France alone it brings annually into the Kingdom, I am informed, about 150,0001. in money.

In 1775, being, alas! the *parting* year, the duties on tobacco in ENGLAND brought into the *Exchequer* no lefs a fum than 298,2021. Confeconfequence of the increafe of the poor, of the difficulties of our merchants and manufacturers, of lefs national wealth, and a retrenchment of luxury. There is no poffibility of knowing to what thefe deductions may amount. When the evils producing them begin, they will proceed rapidly; and they may end in a general wreck before we are aware of any danger.

F 46]

In order to give a clearer view of this fubject, I will in an Appendix, flate particularly the national expenditure and income for eleven years, from 1764 to 1774. From that account it will appear, that the money drawn every year from the public by the taxes, falls but little fhort of a fum equal to the whole specie of the kingdom; and that, notwithitanding the late increase in the productiveness of the taxes, the whole surplus of the national income has not exceeded 320 0001 per ann. This is a furplus fo inconfiderable as to be fcarcely fufficient to guard against the deficiencies arising from the common fluctuations of foreign trade, and of home confumption. It is NOTHING when confidered as the only fund we have for paying off a debt of near 140 millions. -Had we continued in a flate of profound peace, it, could not have admitted of any diminution. What then must follow, when one of the most profitable branches of our trade is destroyed; when a THIRD of the Empire is loft; when an addition of many millions is made to the public debt; and when, at the fame time, perhaps, fome millions are taken away from the revenue ?- I fhudder at this profpect. - A KINGDOM, ON AN EDGE SO PERILOUS, SHOULD THINK OF NO. THING BUT A RETREAT.

SECT. IV.

Of the Honour of the Nation as affected by the War with America.

NE of the pleas for continuing the contest with America is, " That our honour is engaged; and that we cannot now recede without the most humiiliating concessions."

With respect to this, it is proper to observe, that a distinction should be made between the nation, and its rulers.

rulers. It is melancholy that there should be ever any. reason for making such a diffinction. A government is, or ought to be, nothing but an inflitution for collecting and for carrying into execution the will of the people. But so far is this from being in general the fact, that the measures of government, and the fense of the people, are fometimes in direct opposition to one another ; nor does it often happen that any certain conclusion can be drawn from the one to the other. ---- I will not pretend to determine, whether, in the prefent instance, the dishonour attending a retreat would belong to the nation at large, or only to the perfons in power who guide its affairs. Let it be granted, though probably far from true, that the majority of the kingdom favour the present measures. No good argument could be drawn from hence against receding. The difgrace to which a kingdom must submit by making conceffions, is nothing to that of being the aggreffors in an unrighteous quarrel; and dignity, in fuch circumftances, confifts in retracting freely, fpeedily, and mag-_ nanimoufly .- For, (to adopt, on this occafion; words which I have heard applied to this very purpofe, in a great affembly, by a peer to whom this kingdom has often looked as its deliverer, and whofe ill flate of health at this awful moment of public danger every friend to Britain must deplore) to adopt, I fay, the words of this great man " RECTITUDE IS DIGNITY. " OPPRESSION ONLY IS MEANNESS; AND JUSTICE, " HONOUR."

[-47.]

I will add, that PRUDENCE, no lefs than true HON-OUR, requires us to retract. For the time may come when, if it is not done voluntarily, we may be obliged to do it; and find ourfelves under a necessity of granting that to our distresses, which we now deny to equity and humanity, and the prayers of America. The poffibility of this appears plainly from the preceding pages; and should it happen, it will bring upon us difgrace indeed; difgrace greater than the worft rancour can with to fee accumulated on a kingdom already too much difho. noured .- Let the reader think here what we are doing. -A nation, once the protector of Liberty in diftant countries, and the fcourge of tyranny, changed into an enemy to Liberty, and engaged in endeavouring to reduce to fervitude its own brethren .- A great and enlightened

lightened nation, not content with a controuling power over millions of people which gave it every reatonable advantage, infifting upon fuch a supremacy over them as would leave them nothing they could call their own, and carrying defolation and death among them for difputing that fupremacy .--. What can be more ignominious? How have we felt for the brave Corficans, in their ftruggle with the Genoele, and afterwards with the French government? Did GENOA or FRANCE want more than an ab olute command over their property and legislations; or the power of binding them in all cafes whatfoever ? -The Corficans had been fubject to Genoa; but that republic finding it difficult to keep them in subjection, CEDED them to the French. - All fuch ceffions of one people by another are difgraceful to human nature. But if our claims are just, may not we also, if we please, CEDE the colonies to France ? - There is, in truth, no other difference between these two cales than that the Corficans were not defcended from the people who governed them, but that the Americans are.

[48]

There are fome who feem to be fenfible, that the authority of one country over another, cannot be diftinguished from the fervitude of one country to another; and that unlefs different communities, as well as different parts of the fame community; are united by an equal reprefentation, all fuch authority is inconfiltent with the principles of Civil Liberty, But they except. the cafe of the Colonies and Great-Britain ; because the Colonies are communities which have branched forth from, and which, therefore, as they think, belong to Britain. Had the colonies been communities of foreigners, over whom we wanted to acquire dominion, or even to extend a dominion before acquired, they are ready to admit that their refiftance would have been juft.----In my opinion, this is the fame with faying, that the Colonies ought to be worfe off than the reft of mankind, because they are our own Brethren.

Again. The United Provinces of Holland were once fubject to the Spanif monarchy: But, provoked by the violation of their charters; by levies of money, without their confent; by the introduction of Spanifh troops, among them; by innovations in their ancient modes of government; and the rejection of their petitions; they were driven to that refiftance which we and all the world world have ever fince admired; and which has given birth to one of the greatest and happiest Republics that ever existed.—Let any one read also, the history of the war which the Athenians, from a thirst of Empire, made on the Syracufans in Sicily, a people derived from the fame origin with them; and let him, if he can, avoid rejoicing in the ruin it brought on the Athenians.

Let him, likewife, read the account of the focial war among the Romans. The allied flates of *Italy* had fought the battles of *Rome*, and contributed by their valour and treasure to its conquests and grandeur. They claimed, therefore, the rights of Roman citizens, and a share with them in legislation. The Romans, difdaining to make those their *fellow-citizens*, whom they had always looked upon as their *fubjects*, would not comply; and a war followed, the most horrible in the annals of mankind, which ended in the destruction of the Roman Republic. The feelings of every Britain in this case must force him to approve the conduct of the Allies, and to condemn the proud and ungrateful Romans.

But not only is the prefent contest with America thus difgraceful to us, because inconfistent with our own feelings in fimilar cafes; but alfo becaufe condemned by our own practice in former times. The Colonies are perfuaded that they are fighting for Liberty. We fee them facrificing to this perfuasion every private advantage. If mistaken, and though guilty of irregularities, they should be pardoned by a people whose anceftors have given them fo many examples of fimilar conduct. ENGLAND flould venerate the attachment to Liberty amidst all its excesses; and, instead of indignation or fcorn, it would be most becoming them, in the prefent inftance, to declare their applause, and to fay to the Colonies---- " We excufe your miftakes, " We admire your fairit. It is the fpirit that has more " than once faved ourselves. We afpire to no domi-" nion over you. We understand the rights of men " too well to think of taking from you the ineffimable " privilege of governing yourselves; and, instead of " employing our power for any fuch purpofe, we offer " it to you as a friendly and guardian power, to be a " mediator in your quarrels; a protection against your " enemies; and an aid to you in establishing a plan of " Liberty that shall make you great and happy. In " return,

** return, we afk nothing but your gratitude and your st commerce."

This would be a language worthy of a brave and enlightened nation. But alas! it often happens in the *Political World* as it does in *Religion*, that the people who cry out most vehemently for Liberty to themselves are the most unwilling to grant it to others.

One of the moft violent enemies of the Colonies has pronounced them " all Mr. Locke's difciples." — Glorious title !—How shameful is it to make war against them for that reason ?

This war is difgraceful on account of But farther. the persuasion which led to it, and under which it has been undertaken. The general cry was last winter, that the people of NEW-ENGLAND were a body of cowards, who would at once be reduced to fubmillion by a hoftile look from your troops. In this light were they held up to public derifion in both Houfes of Patliament; and it was this perfuasion that, probably, induced a Nobleman of the first weight in the state to recommend, at the passing of the Boston Port Bill, coercive measures; hinting at the same time, that the appearance of hoftilities would be fufficient, and that all would be foon over, SINE CLADE .- Indeed no one can doubt, but that had it been believed fome time ago, that the people of America were brave, more care would have been taken not to provoke them.

Again. The manner in which this war has been hitherto conducted, renders it fill more difgraceful.— English valour being thought insufficient to subdue the Colonies, the laws and religion of France were established in Canada, on purpose to obtain the power of bringing upon them from thence an army of French Papists. The wild Indians and their own Slaves have been inftigated to attack them; and attempts have been made to gain the affistance (f a large body of Rulsians. —With like views, German troopshave been hired; and ne defence of our Forts and Garrisons trusted in their mands.

These are measures which need no comment. The last of them, in particular, having been carried into execution without the confent of parliament, threatens us with imminent danger; and shews that we are in the way to lose even the Forms of the constitution. If, indeed.

[51]

indeed, our miniflers can at any time, without leave, not only fend away the national troops, but introduce *foreign* troops in their room, we lie entirely at mercy; and we have every thing to dread.

SECT. V.

Of the Probability of Succeeding in the War with America.

E T us next confider how far there is a poffibility of fucceeding in the prefent war.

Our own people, being unwilling to enlift, and the attempts to procure armies of Ruffians, Indians, and Canadians having mifcarried; the utmost force we can employ, including foreigners, does not exceed, if 1 am rightly informed, 30,000 effective men. Let it, however, be called 40,000. This is the force that is to conquer half a million at least (a) of determined men fighting on their own ground, within fight of their houses and families, and for that facred bleffing of Liberty, without which man is a beaft, and government a curfe. All history proves, that in such a fituation, a handful is a match for millions.

In the Netherlands, a few flates thus circumflanced, withflood, for thirty years, the whole force of the Spanish monarchy, when at its zenith; and at last humbled its pride, and emancipated themfelves from its tyranay.— The citizens of SYRACUSE alfo, thus circumflanced, withflood the whole power of the Athenians, and almost ruined them. — The fame happened in the contest between the house of Austria, and the cantons (b) of Switzerland.— There is in this cafe an infinite difference between attacking and being attacked; between fighting to destroy, and fighting to preserve, or acquire Liberty. Were we, therefore, capable of employing a land force against America equal to its own, there would be little

(a) A quarter of the inhabitants of every country are fighting men.
 If, therefore, the Colonies confift only of two millions of inhabitants, the number of fighting men in them will be half a million.
 (b) See the Appendix to Dr. Zubly's Sermon, preached at the opening of the Provincial Congress of Georgia.

probability of fuccefs. But to think of conquering that whole continent with 30,000 or 40,000 men to be tranfported acrofs the *Atlantic*, and fed from hence, and incapable of being recruited after any defeat——This is indeed a folly fo great, that language does not afford a name for it.

T 52]

With respect to our naval force, could it fail at land as it does at sea, much might be done with it; but as that is impossible, little or nothing can be done with it, which will not hurt our felves more than the Colonifis. Such of their maritime towns as they cannot guard against our fleets, and have not been already destroyed, they are determined either to give up to our refentment, or (a) deftroy themselves: The consequence of which will be, that these towns will be rebuilt in fafer fituations; and that we shall lose some of the principal pledges by which we have hitherto held them in fubjection .- As to their trade ; having all the necessaries and the chief conveniencies of life within themfelves, they have no dependence upon it; and the loss of it will do them unspeakable good, by preferving them from the evils of luxury and the temptations of wealth; and keeping them in that flate of virtuous fimplicity which is the greatest happiness. I know that I am now fpeaking the fente of fome of the wifett men in America. It has been long their wifh that Britain would fhut up all their ports. They will rejoice, particularly, in the last restraining act. It might have happened, that the people would have grown weary of their agreements not to export or import. But this act will oblige them to keep these agreements ; and confirm their unanimity and zeal. It will also furnish them with a reason for confifcating the effates of all the friends of our government among them; and for employing their failors, who would have been otherwife idle, in making reprifals on British property. Their ships, before useles, and confifting of many hundreds, will be turned into fhips of war; and all that attention, which they have hitherto confined to trade, will be employed in fitting out a na-

(d) NEW-YORK has been long deferted by the greatest part of the inhabitants; and they are determined to burn it themselves, rather shan fuffer us to burn it.

val

val force for their own defence; and thus the way will be prepared for their becoming, much fooner than they would otherwife have been, a great maritime power.— This act of parliament, therefore, crowns the folly of ail our late measures.—None who know me, can believe me to be disposed to superstition. Perhaps, however, I am not in the present instance, free from this weaknefs.—I fancy I see in these measures something that cannot be accounted for merely by human ignorance. I am inclined to think, that the hand of Providence is in them working to bring about some great ends.—But this leads me to one confideration more, which I cannot help offering to the public, and which appears to me in the highest degree important.

[53]

In this hour of tremendous danger, it would become us to turn our thoughts to Heaven. This is what our brethren in the Colonies are doing. From one end of North America to the other, they are FASTING and PRAYING. But what are we doing? — Shocking thought! we are ridiculing them as Fanatics, and fcoffing at religion.—We are running wild after pleafure, and forgeting every thing ferious and decent at Mafquerades.—We are gambling in gaming-houfes; trafficking for Boroughs; perjuring ourfelves at Elections; and felling ourfelves for places. — Which fide then is Providence likely to favour?

In America we fee a number of rifing flates in the vigour of youth, infpired by the nobleft of all paffions, the paffion for being free; and animated by piety. Here we fee an old flate, great indeed, but inflated and irreligious; enervated by luxury; encumbered with debts; and hanging by a thread. — Can any one look without pain to the iffue? May we not expect calamities that fhall recover to reflection (perhaps to devotion) our Libertines and Atheifts?

Is our caufe fuch as gives us reafon to afk God to blefs it? — Can we in the face of Heaven declare, if that we are not the aggreffors in this war; and that if we mean by it, not to acquire or even preferve doif minion for its own fake; not conqueft, or Empire, if or the gratification of refentment; but folely to deif liver ourfelves from oppreffion; to gain reparation if for injury; and to defend ourfelves againft men who if would plunder or kill us?"—Remember, reader, C 3 whowhoever thou art, that there are no other just causes of war; and that blood spilled, with any other views, will cry for vengeance, and must fome time or other be accounted for.—-But not to expose myself by faying more in this way, I will now beg leave to recapitulate fome of the arguments I have used; and to deliver the feelings of my heart in a brief, but earnest address to my countrymen.

[54 -]

I am hearing it continually urged—" Are they not " our fubjects." — The plain anfwer is, they are not your fubjects. The people of *America* are no more the fubjects of the people of *Britain*, than the people of York/hire are the fubjects of the people of *Middlefex*.— They are your fellow fubjects.

"But they will not obey the *Parliament* and the Laws."—Say rather, they will not obey your parliament and your laws. Their reason is: They have no share in making (a) your laws.—"Neither have most of us."—Then you so far want Liberty; and your language is, "We are not free, Why will they be free?" But many of you have a voice in parliament: None of

(a) " I have no other notion of flavery, but being bound by a "law to which I do not confent." See the cafe of *Ireland*'s being bound by acts of Parliament in *England*, flated by William Molyneux, Efq; Dublin.——In arguing against the authority of Communities, and all people not incorporated, over one another; I have confined my views to taxation and internal legislation. Mr. Molyneux carried his views much farther; and denied the right of *England* to make any laws even to regulate the trade of *Ireland*. He was the intimate friend of Mr. Locke; and writ his book in 1698, foon after the publication of Mr. Locke's Treatife on Government.

What I have faid, in part 1ft. Sect. 3d, of fubjecting a number of flates to a general council reprefenting them all, I fuppofe every one muft confider as entirely theoretical; and not a propofal of any thing I wifh, may take place under the British Empire.

them

them have. All your freehold land is represented: But not a foot of their land is represented. 'At worft, therefore you can be only enflaved partially .- Should they be conquered, they will be enflaved totally .- They are governed by parliaments chofen by themfelves, and by legislatures fimilar to yours. Why will you disturb them in the enjoyment of a blessing fo invaluable? Is it reasonable to infift, that your diferetion alone shall be their law ; that they shall have no constitutions of government, except such as you shall be pleased to give them; and no property except fuch as your parliament fhall be pleafed to leave them ?-What is your parliament? - Powerful indeed and respectable : But is there not a growing intercourfe between it and the court? Does it awe ministers of state as it once did?-Instead of contending for a controuling power over the governments of America, should you not think more of watching and reforming your own? Suppose the worst. Suppose, in opposition to all their own declarations, that the Colonifts are now aiming at independence .---- " If they can fubfilt without you ;" Is it to be wondered at? Did there ever exist a commumity, or even an individual, that would not do the fame ?- "If they cannot fubfift without you;" let them alone. They will foon come back, -- " If you cannot fubfilt without them;" reclaim them by (b) kindnefs; engage them by moderation and equity. It is madnefs to refolve to butcher them. This will make them detelt and avoid you for ever. Freemen are not to be governed by force; or dragooned into compliance. If

55]

ſ

(b) Some perfons, convinced of the folly as well'as barbarity of attempting to keep the Colonies by flaughtering them, have very humanely proposed giving them up. But the highest authority has in-formed us, with great reason, " That they are too important to be "given up."____Dr. TUCKER has infifted on the depopulation, produced by migrations from this country to the Colonies, as a reason for this measure. But unless the kingdom is made a prison to its inhabitants, these migrations cannot be prevented; nor do I think that they have any great tendency to produce depopulation. When a number of people quit a country, there is more employment and greater plenty of the means of fublistence lest for those that remain; and the vacancy is foon filled up. The grand caufes of depopulation are, not migrations, or even famines and plagues, or any other temporary evils; but the permanent and flowly working evils of debauchery, luxury, high taxes, and oppreffion. capable

[56]

" If they can fubfift without you ; and alfo you without them," the attempt to fubjugate them by confifcating their effects, burning their towns, and ravaging their territories, is a wanton exertion of cruel ambition, which, however common it has been among mankind, deferves to be called by harder names than I chufe to apply to it.----Suppofe fuch an attempt was to be fuccefsfal; Would it not be a fatal preparation for fubduing yourfelves? Would not the difpofal of American places, and the diffribution of an American revenue, render that influence of the crown irrefiftible, which has already ftabbed your liberties?

Turn your eyes to India? There more has been done than is now attempted in America: There ENGLISHMEN actuated by the love of plunder and the fpirit of conqueft, have depopulated whole kingdoms, and ruined millions of innocent people by the most infamous oppression and rapacity.—The justice of the nation has slept over these enormities. Will the justice of heaven sleep?—Are wenot now execrated on both fides of the globe?

With respect to the Colonists; it would be folly to pretend they are faultles. They were running fast into our vices. But this quarrel gives them a falutary check: And it may be permitted on purpose to favour them, and in them the rest of mankind; by making way for establishing in an extensive country posfessed of every advantage, a plan of government, and a growing power that shall astonish the world, and under which every subject of human enquiry shall be open to free discussion, and the friends of Liberty, in every quarter of the globe, find a faste retreat from civil and spiritual tyranny.—I hope, therefore, our brethren in America will forgive their enemies. It is certain that they know not what they are doing.

CON-

[57]

CONCLUSION.

TAVING faid fo much of the war with America, and particularly of the danger with which it threatens us, it may be expected that I should propose some method of escaping from this danger, and of restoring this once happy Empire to a flate of peace and security .- Various plans of pacification have been proposed ; and some of them, by persons so diffinguished by their rank and merit, as to be above my applause. But till there is more of a difpofition to attend to fuch plans ; they cannot, I am afraid, be of any great fer-And there is too much reason to apprehend that vice. nothing but calamity will bring us to repentance and wifdom .- In order, however, to complete my defign in thefe observations, I will take the liberty to lay before the public the following fketch of one of the plans juft referred to, as it was opened before the holidays to the House of Lords by the Earl of Shelburne ; who while he held the feals of the Southern Department, with the bufiness of the Colonies annexed, posseffed their confidence, without ever compromifing the authority of this country; a confidence which difcovered itself by peace among themfelves, and duty and fubmission to the Mother-country. I hope I shall not take an unwarrantable liberty, if, on this occasion, I use his Lordthip's own words, as nearly as I have been able to collect them.

" Meet the Colonies on their own ground, in the " last petition from the Congress to the King. The " fureit, as well as the most dignified mode of pro-" ceeding for this country .- Sulpend all hostilities .-" Repeal the acts which immediately diffres America; " namely, the last restraining act,-the charter act,-... the act for the more impartial administration of juf-" tice ;- and the Quebec act .- All the other acts " (the cuftom house act, the post office act; &c.) leave ... to a temperate revifal. - There will be found much " matter which both countries may with repealed Some « which can never be given up, the principle being " that regulation of trade for the common good of the " Empire, which forms our Palladium. Other matter " which C 5

" which is fair fubject of mutual accommodation.---" Preferibe the most explicit acknowledgment of your " right of regulating commerce in its most extensive " fense; if the petition and other public acts of the " Colonies have not already, by their declarations and " acknowledgements, left it upon a fufficiently fecure " foundation .--- Befides the power of regulating the " general commerce of the Empire, something further " might be expected; provided a due and tender re-" gard were had to the means and abilities of the fe-" veral provinces, as well as to those fundamental, " unalienable rights of Englishmen, which no father can " furrender on the part of his fon, no representative on " the part of his elector, no generation on the part " of the fucceeding one; the right of judging not on-" ly of the mode of raifing, but the quantum, and the ap-" propriation of fuch aids as they shall grant .- To be " more explicit; the debt of England, without entering " into invidious diffinctions how it came to be con-" tracted, might be acknowledged the debt of every in-" dividual part of the whole empire, Afia, as well as " America, included.-Provided, that full fecu-" rity were held forth to them, that fuch free aids, to-" gether with the Sinking Fund (Great Britain con-** tributing her fuperior fhare) fhould not be left as the " privy purse of the minister, but be unalienably ap-" propriated to the original intention of that fund, the " discharge of the debt : -- and that by an honest ap-" plication of the whole fund, the taxes might in time · be leffened, and the price of our manufactures con-" fequently reduced, fo that every contributory part " might feel the returning benefit -always supposing " the laws of trade duly observed and enforced.

[58]

"The time was, I am confident—and perhaps is when thefe points might be obtained upon the eafy, the conflitutional, and therefore, the indifpenfible terms of an exemption from parliamentary taxation, and an admiffion of the facrednefs of their charters; inflead of facrificing their good humour, their affection, their effectual aids, and the act of NAVIGATION itfelf, (which you are now in the direct road to do) for a commercial quit-rent, (a) or a barren meta-

(a) See the Refolutions on the Nova-Scotia petition reported to the Houle of Commons, Nov. 29, 1775, by Lord North, Lord George Germaine, " phyfical chimæra.—How long thefe ends may continue attainable, no man can tell.—But if no words are to be relied on except fuch as make againft the Colonies —If nothing is acceptable, except what is attainable by force; it only remains to apply, what has been fo often remarked of unhappy periods, _Quos deus vult, &c."

[59]

These are fentiments and proposals of the last importance; and I am very happy in being able to give them to the public from for respectable an authority, as that of the distinguished Peer I have mentioned; to whom I know, this kingdom, as well as America, is muchin debted for his zeal to promote those grand public points on which the prefervation of Liberty among us depends; and for the firm opposition which, jointly with many others (Noblemen and Commoners of the first character and abilities,) he has made to the preferst measures.

Had fuch a plan as that now proposed been adopted a few months ago, I have little doubt but that a pacification would have taken place, on terms highly advantageous to this kingdom .- In particular. It is probable, that the Colonies would have confented to grant an annual supply, which, increased by a faving of the money now fpent in maintaining troops among them, and by contributions which might have been gained from other parts of the Empire, would have formed a fund confiderable enough, if unalienably applied, (b)to redeem the public debt ; in confequence of which, agreeably to Lord Shelburne's ideas, fome of our worft taxes might be taken off; and the Colonies would receive our manufactures cheaper ; our papercurrency might be reftrained ; our whole force would be free to meet at any time foreign danger ; the influence of the Crown would be reduced; our Parlia-

Germaine, &c. and a bill ordered to be brought in upon the faid refolutions.—There is indeed, as Lord Shelburne hashinted, fomething very aftonifhing in thefe refolutions. They offer a relaxation of the authority of this country, in points to which the Colonies have always confented, and by which we are great gainers; at the fame time that, with a rigour which hazards the Empire, we are maintaining its authority in points to which they will never confent; and by which nothing can be gained.

(b) See the Appendix. C 6

ments

ments would become lefs dependent; and the kingdom might, perhaps, be reftored to a fituation of permanent fafety and profperity.

To conclude.—An important revolution in the affairs of this kingdom feems to be approaching. If ruin is not to be our lot, all that has been lately done must be undone, and new measures adopted. At that period, an opportunity (never perhaps to be recovered if lost) will offer itself for ferving effentially this country, as well as America; by putting the national debt into a fixed course of payment; by subjecting to new regulations, the administration of the finances; and by eftablishing measures for exterminating corruption and reftoring the confitution.—For my own part; if this is not to be the confequence of any future changes in the ministry, and the fystem of corruption, lately fo much improved, is to go on; I think it totally indifferent to the kingdom who are in, or who are out of power.

A P P E N D I X.

Amount of the National Debt, and Appropriated Revenue at Midjummer, 1775.

Principal. Interest.

The amount of the capitals at the Bank, South - Sea, and India-Houfes was (in January 1775,) 125,056,454 l. See the particulars in an account by R. Helm, at the Stock-Exchange, corrected for January 5th, 1775.

Deduct 2 millions capital of India Annuit. deduct alfo 424,500 l. Confol. Annuit. 246,300 l. Reduced; 161,650 l. Old S. S. Annuit. 124,200 l. New S. S. Annuit. and 43,350 l. Annuit. 1751, making in all a million of the 3 per cents, paid off in 1775; and the remainder will be

£. 122:056,454 3.874,057 De-

| | 61 | Ì |
|--|----|---|
| | | _ |

| | Principal. | 0 | |
|--|------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Brought over- Deduct farther, from the annual in- tereft in Mr. Helm's account, (be- fides the long annuity) 443,8141. being the amount of the exceffes of the dividends (a) paid by the three companies above the intereft they receive from government. Undivided Capital of the Bank, | ו 122,056,454 | *• 3,874 ,057 | e |
| making up thewholetoi 1,686,800l. Annuities for 99, 96, and 89 years, from various dates in K. William's and Q. Anne's times. Supposing 18 years to come of these Annuities, their value will be (reckoning inte- rest at $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent.) $13\frac{1}{3}$ years pur- | 906,80c | 27,204 | |
| chafe, or nearly Annuities for lives, with benefit of furvivorship, in K. William's time, fupposed worth Four years pur- chase. | 1.801,179 | 136,453 | |
| N. B. The benefit of furvivorship is to be continued till the Annuitants are reduced to Seven Annuities on lives, with benefit of furvivorship, granted Anno 1765, | 30,268 | 7,567 | |
| -valued at 20 years purchafe Annuities for two or three lives granted in 1693. Alfo annuities on fingle lives 1745, 1746, 1757. | 10,800 | 540 | |
| The original amount of these an- nuities, taken all together, was 123,8831. They are now reduced by deaths to about 80,0001. I have valued them at 10 years pur- chase Long Annuity for 99 years 1761— | 800,000 | 80,000 | 2 |
| The Value of this annuity is in the <i>Alley</i> about $25\frac{1}{2}$ years purchafe; but the remaining term is really worth 27 years purchafe | 6,702,750 | | |
| Carried over £. | | | |

(a) This deduction was not made in the former editions of this work. I fhould have thanked the writer who has pointed out this omiffion to me, had he done it in a handfomer manner. But nothing depends on this omiffion; nor does it affect the conclusion with a view to which I have choien to fiste the national debt.

Un-

| | l. Intereft. £. 1 4,374,051 |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| interest is reckoned at no more than $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. 3,600,00 | 0 90,000 |
| Total of the National debt in 1775—135,908,25 Add (a) — — Civil Lift — — | 1 4,464,071 200,000 800,000 |
| Total of the appropriated Revenue | 5,464,071 |

I have given the Navy Debt as it was about a year ago. It must be now greatly increased.—The Civil List Debt has been given by guess. It is generally reckoned not to be less than the fum I have specified; and it is also expected, that the Civil List income will be raised to 900,0001. or 1,000,0001. per annum. In 1769 the sum of 513,5111. was granted by parliament towards discharging the arrears and debts then due on the Civil List.

By an act of the first of George II. the income of the Civil Lift was to be made up to 800,000 l. whenever, in any year, the duties and revenues appropriated to it fell short of that fum. The clear produce of these duties for 33 years, or from Midfummer 1727, to Midfummer 1760, was, according to a particular account in my possession, 26.182,981 l. 178, 6d. or 793,423 l. per ann. They fell flort, therefore, taking one year with another, more than they exceeded.-In 1747, they had been deficient for feven years together; and the whole deficiency amounted to 456,7331. 169. which, in conformity to the act I have mentioned, was made good to his majefty out of the fupplies for that year. In 1729 alfo, 115,000 l. was granted out of the fupplies for the like reafon .- This is all the money, received by his late majefty from parliament, towards fupporting his houshold and the dignity of his civil government; or \$10,7491. per annum: - I have thought proper to state this

(a) Being charges of management at the Bank, South Sea Houfe and India Houfes; Fees, Salaries, and other expences at the Exchequer; intereft of loans on the Sinking Fund; Annuities payable to the Dukes of Gloucefter and Cumberland, and the Reprefentatives of Arthur Onflow, Efq; Sheriffs of England; expence of coinage; first fruits and tenths of the clergy, &c. Thefe articles were omitted in the former Edition, and ferved to balance the overcharges of intereft on the Bank and India capitals. I have probably under-rated them; but it cannot be expected that I should be able to give their exact amount. I leave therefore this part of the appropriated revenue to be corrected by those who are better informed.

matter

[62]

matter fo particularly here; because accounts grossly wrong have been given of it.

The amount of the National Debt, it has appeared, was last year 136 millions .- The great deficiencies of last year, added to the extraordinary expences of the prefent year, will increase this debt confiderably .- Drawing out, embodying, and maintaining the militia in the laft war, coft the nation near half a million per ann .--- We cannot reckon upon a lefs expence in doing this now. Add to it, pay for foreign troops, and all the extraordinary expences of our increased Navy and Army, transport service, recruiting service, ordnance, &c. and it will be evident that the whole expence of this unhappy year must be enormous .- But I expect that care will be taken to hide it, by funding as little as poffible, and that for this reafon it will not be known in its full magnitude, till it comes to appear another year under the articles of Navydebt, extraordinaries of the army, transport bills, ordnance debentures, &c. making up a vaft unfunded debt which may bear down all public credit.

State of the Surplus of the Revenue for 11 Years ended at 1775.

UNAPPROPRIATED REVENUE.

Neat Produce of the Sinking Fund, for the laft five years, including cafual furpluffes, and reckoning to *Chriftmas* in every year; being the annual medium, after deducting from it about 45,000l. always carried to it from the fupplies, in order to replace fo much taken from it every year to make good a deficiency in a Fund eftablished in 1758

Neat annual produce of Land Tax at 35. militia deducted; and of the Malt Tax (N.B. Thefe two taxes in 1773, brought in only

1.665,4751.) There are forme applied Bassi

There are fome cafual Receipts, not included in the Sinking Fund, fuch as duties on Gum Senegal, American Revenue, &c. But they are fo uncertain and inconfiderable, that it is fearcely proper to give them as a part of the permanent Revenue. Add however on this account

50,000

£.

2.610,759

1.800,000

Total of unappropriated (a) Revenue £. 4.460,759

(a) The greatest part of this Revenue is borrowed of the Bank, and spent before it comes into the Exchequer, and therefore, is a debt conftantly due to the Bank, for which interest is paid. One of my answerers has denied this affertion; but at the fame time has confirmed it, by faying that only 2,250,000 was borrowed in 1775 on the unappropriated Revenue. The fame writer has afferted, that there are three Millions of India Annuities created

[64]

Produce of the SINKING FUND, reckoned to Christmas in every Year.

> £. 1770 ---- 2.4.86,336 1771 <u>2.553,505</u> 1772 <u>2.683,831</u> 1773 _____ 2.823,150 1774 ---- 2.731,476

In 1775 the Sinking Fund was taken for 2.900,0001. including an extraordinary charge of 100,000 l. on the Aggregate Fund. If it has not produced fo much, the deficiency is a debt contracted last year, which must be added to other debts (referred to in Page 63.) arifing from deficiencies in the provision made for the expences of last year. This provision amounted to 3.703,4761; but it has fallen fhort above a million (a) and a half.

ANNUAL EXPENDITURE. Peace Establishment for the Navy and Army, in-

3.700,000 cluding all miscellaneous and incidental expences Annual Increase of the Navy and Civil List Debts 350,000 Intereft at 21 of 3,600,000l. unfunded debt, which must be paid out of the unappropriated 90,000 revenue

> 4,140,000 Total

ANNUAL SURPLUS of the Revenue 320,759

Annual income £. 4.460,759

The effimate for the peace eftablishment, including miscellaneous expences, amounted in 1775 to 3.703,4761.-In 1774 it amounted to 3.804,4521. exclusive of 250,0001. raifed by Exchequer Bills, towards defraying the expence of calling in the gold

created by the Company itfelf, and that this makes two millions difference in the amount of the national debt. The truth is, that in consequence of taking Mr. Helm's paper, (a paper perfectly adapted to the purpofe for which it was intended) without examining it; I had madethe capital of the perpetual Annuities to be 124,056,454; whereas, if two millions India Annuities are rejected, and 906,8001. undivided capital of the Bank admitted, it comes out to be 122,963,2541. See p. 61.-The writer who has given to the public this information, received it, I understand, from the great minister who directs our Finances; to whofe authority on this fubjectI am very ready to fubmit. Pity it is, that he did not chuse to communicate it by a perfon poffeffed of more of his own ability and candour.

(a) The expences of the army not provided for in 1775, have amounted to 845,000 l. fpent chiefly at Bofton .- The Navy-debt inweafed during the course of the same year, from 1,850,000, to

soin

65 coin. And the medium for eleven years, from 1765, has been nearly 3.700,000 l.----- According to the accounts which I have collected, the expence of the peace establishment (including miscellaneous expences) was in 1765, 1766, and 1767, 3.540,0001. per ann. - In 1768, 1769, and 1770, it was 3.354,000 l. per ann.-In 1771, 1772, 1773, 1774, and 1775, the average has been nearly four millions per ann. exclusive of the expence of calling in the coin.

The parliament votes for the fea fervice 4.1. per month per man, including wages, wear and tear, victuals and ordnance.---This allowance is infufficient, and falls thort every year more or lefs, in proportion to the number of men voted. From hence, in a great measure, arifes that annual increase of the navy debt, mentioned in the fecond article of the National Expenditure .--This increase in 1772 and 1773 was 669,9961. or 335,0001. per ann. The number of men voted in those two years, was 20,000. I have supposed them reduced to 16,000, and the annual increase of the navy debt to be only 250,000 l.---- Add 100,0001. for the annual increase of the Civil Lift Debt (see page 62.) and the total will be 350,0001.

There is another method of proving that the permanent furplus of the revenue cannot exceed the fum now stated.

I have learnt from the highest authority, that the national debt, about a year ago, had been diminished near 9 millions and a half, (a) fince the peace in 1763; including a million of the 3 per cents discharged last year .- The money employed in making this reduction, must have been derived from the furplus of the ordinary and stated revenue, added to the extraor-These extraordinary receipts have confisted dinary receipts. of the following articles.____I. The Land Tax at 4s. in the pound in 1764, 1765, 1766, and 1771: or 1s. in the pound extraordinary for four years, making 1,750,0001. Lottery) 1.500,0001-3. A contribution of 400,0001. per ann. from the India company for five years, making 2.000,0001 4. 110,000l. paid by the Bank in 1764 for the pri-vilege of exclusive banking. Also the money paid by France for maintaining their prisoners; and the money arising from the fale of French prizes, taken before the declaration of war; from favings on particular grants at the end of the war, &c, &c. which, (b) I will take at no more than 300,000l. Add 3.600,0001. arifing from a furplus of 300,0001. for twelve years ; and the total will be 9.260,000l. which is a fum more than fufficient to discharge 9 millions and a half of the public debt.

(a) This was Lord North's account at opening the budget in 1775. The particulars, as I have been able to collect them, I have ftated in the Poftfcript.

(b) My reason for this will be seen in the Postfcript, page 71.

Sketch

[66]

Sketch of an Account of the Money drawn from the Public by the Taxes.

| ey the I ares. | |
|---|-----------|
| Cuftoms in England, being the medium of the pay- | |
| ments into the Exchequer, for 3 years ending | to. |
| in 1772(a) | 2.528,275 |
| Amount of the Excifes in England, including the | |
| malt tax, being the medium of 3 years ending | |
| in 1773 | 4.649,892 |
| Land Tax at 38. | 1.300,000 |
| Land Tax at is, in the pound | 450,000 |
| Salt Duties, being the medium of 1765 and 1766 | 218,739 |
| Duties on Stamps, Cards, Dice, Advertilements, | 8. TH |
| Bonds, Leases, Indentures, News-papers, Al- | |
| manacks. &c. | 280,788 |
| Duties on houfes and windows, being the medium | |
| of 2 years ending in 1771 | 385,369 |
| Poft-Office, Seizures, Wine Licences, Hackney | |
| Coaches. (b) Tenths of the Clergy, &c. | 250,000 |
| Excises in Scotland, being the medium of 3 years | Sec. 1 |
| ending in 1772 | 95,229 |
| Cuffoms in Scotland, being the medium of 3 years | |
| ending in 1772 | 68,369 |
| Annual profit from Lotteries / | 1 50,000 |
| Inland taxes in Scotland, deduction of od, in the | |
| pound on all Pentions, Salaries, &c. catual re- | |
| venues, fuch as the duties on Gum Senegal, | |
| American revenue, &c. | 150,000 |
| TEXPENCE of collecting the EXCISES IN LNG- | |
| I and being the average of the years 1707 and | |
| *#68, when their produce was 4.531,0751. | |
| per ann 6 per cent. of the groß produce | 297,887 |
| · · · | |

(a) The annual medium of the payments into the Exchequer from the Customs in England, for the last five years, has been 2.521,769 l. - In 1774 this payment was 2.547,717 l. --- In 3775, it was 2.476, 302 1 .- The produce of the Cuftoms, therefore, has been given rather too high.

The produce of the Excifes in England has been higher in 1772 and 1775 than in any other two years; but the average of any three fuccesfive years, or of all the five years tince 1770, will not differ much from the fum I have given .- In 1754; or the year before the laft war, the Cuftoms produced only 1.558-2541 .- The Excises produced 2.819,7021. - And the whole revenue, exclusive of the Malt-tax and Land-tax at 2s. was 5,097,6171.

(b) These branches of the revenue produced in 1754, 210,2431. I do not know how much they have produced lately; but I believe I have estimated them at the highest. In 1754 the revenue of the Post-Office was 100,710 l. It brought in last year 125,600 l.

Ex-

| EXPENCE of collecting the Exciles in SCOT- |
|--|
| LAND, being the medium of the years 1772 and |
| 1773, and the difference between the gross and |
| nett produce-31 per cent. of the gross |
| produce - 43,254 |
| EXPENCE of collecting the CUSTOMS in ENG- |
| LAND, being the average of 1771 and 1772; |
| bounties-included—15 per cent. of the großs |
| produce, exclusive of drawbacks and over- |
| |
| |
| N. B. The bounties for 1771 were 202,840l. |
| for 1772, 172,468l. |
| The charges of management for 1771, were |
| 276,4341. |
| For 1772, 285,7641. or 10 per cent nearly. |
| Intereft of loans on the land-tax at 4s. expences |
| of collection, militia, &c. 250,000 |
| PERQUISITES, &c. to Custom-house officers, |
| &c. supposed to be 250.000 |
| EXPENCE of collecting the Salt duties in ENG- |
| LAND, $10\frac{1}{2}$ per cent 27,000 |
| Bounties on Fish exported |
| EXPENCE of collocting the duties on Stamps, Cards, |
| Advertisements, &c. 5% per cent 18,000 |
| |

Total . 110900, 305

It must be seen, that this account is imperfect. It is however fufficient to prove, that the whole money raifed DI-RECTLY by the taxes, cannot be much lefs than TWELVE MILLIONS. But as the increased price of one commodity has a tendency to raife the price of other commodities; and as alfo dealers generally add more than the value of a tax to the price of a commodity, befides charging interest for the money they advance on the taxes; for thefe reafons, it feems certain, that the taxes have an INDIRECT effect of great confequence ; and that a larger fum is drawn by them from the public, than their grofs produce. It is farther to be confidered, that many of the perfons who are now supported by collecting the taxes, would have fupported themselves by commerce or agriculture ; and, therefore, instead of taking away from the public stock, would have been employed in increasing it. _____ Some have reckoned, that on all these accounts the expence of the taxes is 'doubled; but this must be extravagant. Let us suppose a fourth only added : and it will follow, that the money drawn from the publick by the taxes (exclusive of tithes, county rates, and the taxes which maintain the poor) is near 15 millions per annum; a fum equal to the whole specie of the kingdom ; which, therefore, had we no paper currency, would be totally inadequate to the wants of the kingdom.

Without

[68]

Without all doubt fuch a state of things, in a great commercial nation, is most dangerous, and frightful; but it admits of no remedy, while the public debt continues what it is ---- With a view, therefore, to the quick reduction of this debt, I will throw away, after all I have faid on this fubject on former occafions, the following propofals-It has appeared, that, fuppoling the taxes not to become less productive, and the current national expence to continue the fame that it has been for ten years before 1775, a furplus may be expected in the revenue of about 300,000l. per ann.----With a furplus fo trifling, nothing can be done; but it might be increased, first of all.; By keeping the LAND TAX for the future at 4s, in the pound. As rents have been almost doubled, this will not be much more to the prefent proprietors of land, than 2 s. in the pound was formerly. 'Tis, therefore, equitable; and will add to the national income near 450.000l.

Thirdly, I do not fee why the peace-eftablifhment might not be reduced to what it was, at an average in 1768, 1769, and 1770. This would produce a faving of 350,000 *l. per ann.---*I might here propose reducing the peace-establifhment for the Navy to what it always was before the last war, or from 16,000 to 10,000 men. But it would be infinitely better to reduce the ARMY; and this might produce a farther faving of great confequence.---But waving this, I shall only mention,

Fourthly, That contributions might be obtained from North-America and other parts (a) of the British Empire, on the principles stated, from the EARL of SHELBURNE's authority, in page 58.—I will estimate these at no more than 400,000 l. per ann.—Add the Surplus now in our possession; and the total will be 1.700,000.—In the Introduction to the third.

(a) We drew, fome years ago, this contribution from ASIA only; and it cannot be unreafonable to expect, that the greateft part of it may be again drawn from thence after the expiration, in 1780, of the charter of the EAST-INDIA company. At that peried alfo, it is much to be wifhed that fome effectual measures may be established for making amends to the inhabitants of BENGAL for the shocking injuries they have suffered, and for skreening them from all farther injuries; and likewife for withdrawing from the crown that patronage of the East India Company, which it has lately acquired, and which has given one of the deepest wounds to the constitution.

edition.

third edition of the Treatife on *Reverfionary Payments*, I have explained a method of paying off, with a finking fund of a million *per ann*. (b) a hundred millions of the national debt in forty years. What then might not be done with fuch a fund as this?

[69]

In five years 18.986,3001. will fall from an interest of 4 per cent. to 3 per cent. ____ Alfo, 4.500,000l. 31 per cent. 1758 will fall, in fix years, to an interest of 3 per cent. The Long Annuities granted in King William's time, will, in 20 years, become extinct; as will also the greatest part of the Life-Annuities specified in page 61 .- All these favings will not amount to much lefs than 400,000l. per ann. And were they to be added to the fund as they fall in, its operations would be fo much accelerated, that in a few years we fhould fee this country above all its difficulties .--- Still more might be done by ftriking offunneceffary places and penfions; by giving up all the means of corruption; by reducing the pay of the great officers of state; and fimplifying the taxes. A minister who appeared determined to carry into execution fuch a fystem, would foon gain the confidence of the public; endear himfelf to all honeft men; and in time come to be bleffed as the Saviour of his country .---- But what am I doing ?--- We have no fuch happy period before us .--- Our ministers are active in purfuing meafures which must increase our burdens. A horrid civil war is begun; and it may foon leave us nothing to be anxious about.

POSTSCRIPT. Account of Public Debts discharged, Money borrowed, and Annual Interest saved, from 1763 to 1775.

| Debts paid off fince 1763. Annuity decreased. | |
|--|---|
| for the second sec | - |
| 1,765- 870,888 funded, bearing intereft 2 34,835 10 | |
| al 4 per cento 3 | |
| 1.500,006 unfunded, 4 per cent 60,000 00 | |
| 1766-0.870,888 funded, 4 per cent - 34,835 10 | |
| 1.200,000 unfunded, 4 — 48,000 00 | |
| 1767-2.616,777 tunded, 4 - 104,671 0 | |
| 1768-2.625,000 funded, 4 - 105,000 0 | |
| 1771-1.500,000 funded, 3 ¹ / ₂ per cent 52,500 0 | |
| 1772-1.500,000 funded, 3 per cent 45,000 0 | |
| 1773- 800,000 unfunded, 3 - 24,000 0 | |
| 1774-1.000,000 funded, 3 30,000 0 | |
| 1775-1.000,000 funded, 3 30,000 0 | |
| genille strap - source with the source of th | |

Total 15.483.553

Total 568,842 0

(b) At the time of writing the introduction here referred to, above three years ago, I thought, or rather boped, that the furplus of the re-. venue might be taken at 900,0001. per ann. But it must be confidered, that the nation was then in possession of a Contribution of 400 0001. per ann. from the India Company, which has been fince Ioft.—See the Additional Preface to the 2d Edition of the Appeal to the Public on the Subject of the National Debt.

[70]

In 1764, there was paid off 650,000 l. navy-debt; but this I have not charged, becaufe fcarcely equal to that annual increafe of the navy-debt for 1764, 1765, and 1766, which forms a part of the ordinary peace-eftablishment. The fame is true of 300,000 l. navy-debt, paid in 1767; of 400,000 l. paid in 1769; of 100,200 l. paid in 1770; 200,000 l. in 1771; 215,883 l. in 1772; and 200,000 l. in 1774.

Account of Money borrowed fince 1763.

Annual intereft

| Borrowed and funded, 3 at 3 per cent in 3 | 1765-1.500,000- 45,000 |
|--|------------------------|
| in | 1766-1.500,000- 45,000 |
| in | 1767-1.500,000- 45,000 |
| in . | 1768-1.900,000- 57,000 |
| Unfunded in | 1774- 250,000- 7,500 |
| | |

Total 6.650,000-199,500

From 15.483,553 h the total of debts difcharged, fubfuract 6.650,000 h the total of debts contracted ; and the remainder, or 8.833,553 h will be the diminution of the public debts fince 1763. Alfo, from 568,842 h the total of the decrease of the annual interest, fubfuract 199,500 h (the total of its increase), and the remainder, or 369,342 h will be the interest or annuity faved fince 1763. To this must be added 12,537 h per ann. faved by changing a capital of 1.253,700 h (part of 20.240,000 h) from an interest of 4 to 3 per cent. pursuant to an act of the 10th of George III. and also the life-annuities that have fallen in ; which will make a faving in the whole of near 400,000 h per annum : And it is to this faving, together with the increase of luxury, that the increase of the Sinking-Fund for the last term years has been owing.

To the debts discharged the following additions must be made.

In 1764 there was paid towards difcharging the extraordinary expences of the army, 987,434 l. In 1765, these expences a-mounted to 404,496 l. In 1766, to 404,310 l. Total 1.796,240 l. expences of the army for three years in a time of peace. This excess being derived from the preceding war, must be reckoned a debt left by the war. And the fame is true of 1.106.000l. applied, in 1764, 1765, and 1766, towards fatisfying German demands. There are likewife fome fmaller fums of the fame kind ; fuch as fublidies to Heffe-Caffel, Brunfwick, &c. And they may be taken at 200,000 l .- The total of all these fums is 2.306,240 l. which added to 8.833,553 l. makes the whole diminution of the public debt fince 1763, to be 11.139,793 l. Towards discharging this debt, the nation, besides the furplus of its ordinary revenue, has received, at different times between the years 1763 and 1768, from favings on high grants during the

the war; from the produce of *French* prizes; from the Bank for the renewal of their charter, from the fale of lands in the ceded iflands, and composition for maintaining *French* prifoners (a), 2,630,000 l. Alfo, from the profits of ten (b) lotteries (at 50,000 l. each lottery) 1.500,000 l.; from the *Eafl-India* Company (400,000 l. *per ann*. for five years) 2.000,000 l.; from 1s. extraordinary land-tax for 4 years, 1.750,000 l.; from debts difcharged at a difcount, 400,000 l. (c) In all 8.280,000 l. There remains to make up 11.139,793 l. (the whole debt difcharged) 2.859,793 l. and this, therefore, is the amount of the whole furplus of the ordinary revenue for 12 years; or 238,000 l. *per annum*.

Soon after the peace in 1763, an unfunded debt, amounting to 6.983,553 l. was funded on the Sinking Fund, and on new duties on wine and cyder at 4 per cent. There has been fince borrowed and funded on coals exported, window-lights, &c. 6.400,000 l. The funded debt, therefore, has encreased fince the war 13.383,553 l. It has decreafed (as appears from page 70) 11.983,553 l. and, confequently, there has been on the whole an addition to it of 1.400,000 l. During feven years, from 1767 to 1774, 1.415,8831. navy-debt was paid off. See page 71. But, as this is a debt arifing from constant deficiencies in the peace estimates for the navy, it is a part of the current peace expences. In 1768 this debt was (d) 1.226,9151. In 1774 it was 1.850,000/l. and confequently, though 1.415,883 l. was paid off, an addition was made to it, in feven years, of 623,085 l. It increased, therefore, according to this account, at the rate of 291,000 l. per ann.

Upon the whole, there is reafon to believe, that the annual increase of the navy-debt might have been more truly stated in page 65, at 300,000 l. per ann. and this would have reduced the annual surplus of the revenue to 270,759 l. per ann.

(a) See the particulars in a pamphlet entitled, The prefent State of the Nation, published in 1768. Page 56.

(b) Four of these lotteries have been annexed to annuities; but it is a great mission of these lotteries. For instance : In 1767 a million and a half with the other lotteries. For instance : In 1767 a million and a half was borrowed on annuities, at 3 per cent. with a lottery of 60,000 tickets annexed. In the same year 2.616,777 l. was paid off; but had it not been for the lottery, only 1.350,000 l. could have been raised on the annuities; and 150,000 l. lefs must have been paid off.

(c) The difcounts only on a million and a half paid off in 1772, and 2 millions paid off in 1774 and 1775, amounted to nearly that fum. (d) See The prefent State of the Nation, page 51.

FINIS.













